

PRINCIPAL NONCOMMUTATIVE TORUS BUNDLES

SIEGFRIED ECHTERHOFF, RYSZARD NEST, AND HERVE OYONO-OYONO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study continuous bundles of C^* -algebras which are non-commutative analogues of principal torus bundles. We show that all such bundles, although in general being very far away from being locally trivial bundles, are at least locally $\mathcal{R}KK$ -trivial. Using earlier results of Echterhoff and Williams, we shall give a complete classification of principal non-commutative torus bundles up to $\mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariant Morita equivalence. We then study these bundles as topological fibrations (forgetting the group action) and give necessary and sufficient conditions for any non-commutative principal torus bundle being $\mathcal{R}KK$ -equivalent to a commutative one. As an application of our methods we shall also give a K -theoretic characterization of those principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles with H -flux, as studied by Mathai and Rosenberg which possess "classical" T -duals.

0. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we want to start a general study of C^* -algebra bundles over locally compact base spaces X which are non-commutative analogues of Serre fibrations in topology. Before we shall prove some general results for analysing such bundles in a forthcoming paper [10] (e.g., by proving a general spectral sequence for computing the K -theory of the algebra of the total bundle) we want to introduce in this paper our most basic (and probably also most interesting) toy examples, namely the non-commutative analogues of principal torus bundles as defined below.

Recall that a locally compact principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle $q : Y \rightarrow X$ consists of a locally compact space Y equipped with a free action of $\mathbb{T}^n$ on Y such that $q : Y \rightarrow X$ identifies the orbit space $\mathbb{T}^n \backslash Y$ with X . In order to introduce a suitable non-commutative analogue, we recall that from Green's theorem [14] we have

$$C_0(Y) \rtimes \mathbb{T}^n \cong C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$$

for the crossed product by the action of $\mathbb{T}^n$ on Y , where $\mathcal{K}$ denotes the algebra of compact operators on the infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space. Using this observation, we introduce NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles as follows

Definition 0.1. By a (possibly) *noncommutative principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle* (or *NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle*) over X we understand a separable C^* -algebra bundle $A(X)$ together with a fibre-wise action $\alpha : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A(X))$ such that

$$A(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{T}^n \cong C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 19K35, 46L55, 46L80, 46L85 ; Secondary 14DXX, 46L25, 58B34, 81R60, 81T30.

Key words and phrases. Non-commutative Principal Bundle, K -theory, Non-Commutative Tori, T -duality. This work was partially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 478).

as C^* -algebra bundles over X .

We should note that by a C^* -algebra bundle over X we simply understand a $C_0(X)$ -algebra, i.e., a C^* -algebra A which is equipped with a $C_0(X)$ -bimodule structure given by a non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi_A : C_0(X) \rightarrow ZM(A)$, where $ZM(A)$ denotes the centre of the multiplier algebra $M(A)$ of A . The fibre A_x of $A(X)$ over $x \in X$ is then defined as the quotient of $A(X)$ by the ideal $I_x := \Phi(C_0(X \setminus \{x\}))A(X)$. We call an action $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A(X))$ *fibre-wise* if it is $C_0(X)$ -linear in the sense that

$$\alpha_s(\Phi_A(f)a) = \Phi_A(f)(\alpha_s(a)) \quad \text{for all } f \in C_0(X), a \in A.$$

This implies that α induces actions α^x on the fibres A_x for all $x \in X$ and the crossed product $A(X) \rtimes_\alpha G$ is again a C^* -algebra bundle (i.e. $C_0(X)$ -algebra) with structure map $\Phi_{A \rtimes_\alpha G} : C_0(X) \rightarrow ZM(A \rtimes_\alpha G)$ given by the composition of Φ_A with the canonical inclusion $M(A) \subseteq M(A \rtimes_\alpha G)$, and with fibres $A_x \rtimes_{\alpha^x} G$ (at least if we consider the full crossed products).

If $A(X)$ is a NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle, then the crossed product $A(X) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{T}^n \cong C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$ comes equipped with the dual action of $\mathbb{Z}^n \cong \widehat{\mathbb{T}^n}$, and then the Takesaki-Takai duality theorem tells us that

$$A(X) \sim_M C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}^n$$

as C^* -algebra bundles over X , where $\sim_M$ denotes $X \times \mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariant Morita equivalence. The corresponding action of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ on $C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$ is also fibre-wise. Conversely, if we start with any fibre-wise action $\beta : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_0(X, \mathcal{K}))$, the Takesaki-Takai duality theorem implies that

$$A(X) = C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}^n$$

is a NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle. Thus, up to a suitable notion of Morita equivalence, the NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles are precisely the crossed products $C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}^n$ for some fibre-wise action β of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ on $C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$ and equipped with the dual $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action.

Using this translation, the results of [11, 12] provide a complete classification of NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles up to $\mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariant Morita equivalence in terms of pairs $([q : Y \rightarrow X], f)$, where $[q : Y \rightarrow X]$ denotes the isomorphism class of a commutative principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle $q : Y \rightarrow X$ over X and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ is a certain “classifying” map of the bundle (see §2 below for more details).

In this paper we are mainly interested in the topological structure of the underlying non-commutative fibration $A(X)$ after forgetting the $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action. Note that these bundles are in general quite irregular. To see this let us briefly discuss the Heisenberg bundle, which is probably the most prominent example of a NCP torus bundle: Let $C^*(H)$ denote the C^* -group algebra of the discrete Heisenberg group

$$H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n & k \\ 0 & 1 & m \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : n, m, k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

The centre of this algebra is equal to $C^*(Z)$ with $Z = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & k \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ the centre of H . Hence we have $Z(C^*(H)) \cong C^*(\mathbb{Z}) \cong C(\mathbb{T})$ which implements a canonical C^* -algebra bundle structure on $C^*(H)$ with base $\mathbb{T}$. It is well documented in the literature (e.g. see [1]) that the fibre of this bundle at $z \in \mathbb{T}$ is isomorphic to the non-commutative 2-torus A_θ

if $z = e^{2\pi i\theta}$. Moreover, there is an obvious fibre-wise $\mathbb{T}^2$ -action on $C^*(H)$, namely the dual action of $\widehat{H/Z} \cong \mathbb{T}^2$, and one can check that

$$C^*(H) \rtimes \mathbb{T}^2 \cong C(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{K})$$

as bundles over $\mathbb{T}$. Since the non-commutative 2-tori A_θ differ substantially for different values of θ (e.g. they are simple for irrational θ and non-simple for rational θ), the Heisenberg bundle is quite irregular in any classical sense.

But we shall see in this paper that, nevertheless, all NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles are locally trivial in a K -theoretical sense. This shows up if we change from the category of C^* -algebra bundles over X with bundle preserving (i.e., $C_0(X)$ -linear) $*$ -homomorphisms to the category $\mathcal{RKK}_X$ of C^* -algebra bundles over X with morphisms given by the elements of Kasparov's group $\mathcal{RKK}(X; A(X), B(X))$. Isomorphic bundles $A(X)$ and $B(X)$ in this category are precisely the $\mathcal{RKK}$ -equivalent bundles. The first observation we can make is the following

Theorem 0.2. *Any NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle $A(X)$ is locally $\mathcal{RKK}$ -trivial. This means that for every $x \in X$ there is a neighbourhood U_x of x such that the restriction $A(U_x)$ of $A(X)$ to U_x is $\mathcal{RKK}$ -equivalent to the trivial bundle $C_0(U_x, A_x)$.*

The proof is given in Corollary 3.4 below. Having this result, it is natural to ask the following questions:

Question 1: Suppose that $A_0(X)$ and $A_1(X)$ are two non-commutative $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles over X . Under what conditions is $A_1(X)$ $\mathcal{RKK}$ -equivalent to $A_2(X)$?

Actually, in this paper we will only give a partial answer to the above question. But we shall give a complete answer, at least for (locally) path connected spaces X , to

Question 2: Which non-commutative principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles are $\mathcal{RKK}$ -equivalent to a “commutative” $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle?

A basic tool for our study of $\mathcal{RKK}$ -equivalence of non-commutative torus bundles will be the K -theory group bundle $\mathcal{K}_* := \{K_*(A_x) : x \in X\}$ associated to $A(X)$ and/or a certain associated action of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ on the fibres $K_*(A_x)$ if X is path-connected. The answer to Question 2 is then given by

Theorem 0.3. *Let $A(X)$ be a NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle with X path connected. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $A(X)$ is $\mathcal{RKK}$ -equivalent to a commutative principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle.
- (ii) The K -theory bundle $\mathcal{K}_*(A(X))$ is trivial.
- (iii) The action of $\pi_1(X)$ on the fibres $K_*(A_x)$ of the K -theory bundle is trivial.

In the case where $n = 2$ we can determine the $\mathcal{RKK}$ -equivalence classes up to a twisting by commutative principal bundles and we shall obtain a slightly weaker result in case $n = 3$ (see Theorem 7.5 below). But this still does not give a complete answer to Question 1.

Another obvious obstruction for $\mathcal{RKK}$ -equivalence is given by the K -theory group of the “total space” $A(X)$: If $K_*(A_0(X)) \neq K_*(A_1(X))$, then clearly $A_0(X)$ and $A_1(X)$ are not

$\mathcal{R}KK$ -equivalent, since $\mathcal{R}KK$ -equivalence implies KK -equivalence. Using this obstruction we can easily see that the Hopf-fibration $p : S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ and the trivial bundle $S^2 \times \mathbb{T}$ are principal $\mathbb{T}$ -bundles which are not $\mathcal{R}KK$ -equivalent (i.e., $C(S^3)$ is not $\mathcal{R}KK(S^2; \cdot, \cdot)$ -equivalent to $C(S^2 \times \mathbb{T})$), since $K_0(C(S^3)) = \mathbb{Z}$ while $K_0(C(S^2 \times \mathbb{T})) = \mathbb{Z}^2$, although, S^2 being simply connected, their K -theory group bundles are trivial and thus isomorphic. However, in general it is not so easy to compute the K -theory groups of the algebras $A(X)$! Since, as mentioned above, our bundles behave like Serre fibrations in commutative topology, one should expect the existence of a generalized Leray Serre spectral sequence which relates the K -theory group of the total space $A(X)$ to the K -theory of the base and the fibres. Indeed, such spectral sequence does exist with E_2 -term given by the cohomology of X with local coefficients in the K -theory group bundle $\mathcal{K}_*(A(X))$, but we postpone the details of this to the forthcoming paper [10].

This paper is organized as follows: after a preliminary section on C^* -algebra bundles we start in §2 with the classification of NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles based on [11, 12]. We then proceed in §3 by showing that all NCP bundles are locally $\mathcal{R}KK$ -trivial before we introduce the K -theory group bundle and the action $\pi_1(X)$ on the fibres of such bundles in §4. This action will be determined in detail for the case $n = 2$ in §5, and, building on the two-dimensional case the $\pi_1(X)$ -action will be described in general case in §6. In §7 we will then give our main results on $\mathcal{R}KK$ -equivalence of NCP bundles as explained above.

In our final section, §8 we give some application of our results to the study of T -duals of principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles $q : Y \rightarrow X$ with H -flux $\delta \in H^3(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ as studied by Mathai and Rosenberg in [23, 24]. The corresponding stable continuous-trace algebras $CT(Y, \delta)$ can then be regarded as C^* -algebra bundles over X with fibres isomorphic to $C(\mathbb{T}^n, \mathcal{K})$ (it follows from the fact that $CT(Y, \delta)$ is assumed to carry an action of $\mathbb{R}^n$ which restricts on the base Y to the action inflated from the given action of $\mathbb{T}^n$ on Y , that the Dixmier-Douady class δ is trivial on the fibres $Y_x \cong \mathbb{T}^n$). We can then study the K -theory group bundle $\mathcal{K}_*(CT(Y, \delta))$ over X with fibres $\mathcal{K}_*(C(Y_x, \mathcal{K})) \cong \mathcal{K}_*(C(\mathbb{T}^n))$ for $x \in X$. Following [23, 24] we say that (Y, δ) has a classical T -dual $(\hat{Y}, \hat{\delta})$ if and only if one can find an action $\beta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(CT(Y, \delta))$ as above, such that the crossed product $CT(Y, \delta) \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{R}^n$ is again a continuous-trace algebra, and hence isomorphic to some $CT(\hat{Y}, \hat{\delta})$ for some principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle $\hat{q} : \hat{Y} \rightarrow X$ and some H -flux $\hat{\delta} \in H^3(\hat{Y}, \mathbb{Z})$. Combining our results with [24, Theorems 2.3 and 3.1] we show

Theorem 0.4. *Suppose that X is (locally) path connected. Then the pair (Y, δ) has a classical T -dual $(\hat{Y}, \hat{\delta})$ if and only if the associated K -theory group bundle $\mathcal{K}_*(CT(Y, \delta))$ over X is trivial.*

1. PRELIMINARIES ON C^* -ALGEBRA BUNDLES.

In this section we want to set up some basic results on C^* -algebra bundles which are used throughout this paper. As explained in the introduction, we use the term C^* -algebra bundle as a more suggestive word for what is also known as a $C_0(X)$ -algebra in the literature, and we already recalled the definition of these objects in the introduction. Recall that for $x \in X$ the fibre A_x of $A(X)$ at x was defined as the quotient $A_x = A(X)/I_x$ with $I_x = C_0(X \setminus \{x\})A(X)$ (throughout the paper we shall simply write $g \cdot a$ for $\Phi(g)a$ if $g \in C_0(X)$ and $a \in A$). If $a \in A(X)$, we put $a(x) := a + I_x \in A_x$. In this way we may view the elements $a \in A(X)$

as sections of the bundle $\{A_x : x \in X\}$. The function $x \mapsto \|a(x)\|$ is then always upper semi-continuous and vanishes at infinity on X . Moreover, we have

$$\|a\| = \sup_{x \in X} \|a(x)\| \quad \text{for all } a \in A(X).$$

This and many more details can be found in [31, Appendix C].

If $A(X)$ is a C^* -algebra bundle, Y is a locally compact space and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map, then the pull-back f^*A of $A(X)$ along f is defined as the balanced tensor product

$$f^*A := C_0(Y) \otimes_{C_0(X)} A,$$

where the $C_0(X)$ -structure on $C_0(Y)$ is given via $g \mapsto g \circ f \in C_b(Y) = M(C_0(Y))$. The $*$ -homomorphism

$$C_0(Y) \rightarrow C_0(Y) \otimes_{C_0(X)} A; g \mapsto g \otimes 1$$

provides f^*A with a canonical structure as a C^* -algebra bundle over Y with fibre $f^*A_y = A_{f(y)}$ for all $y \in Y$. We shall therefore use the notation $f^*A(Y)$ to indicate this structure.

In particular, if $Z \subseteq X$ is a locally compact subset of X the pull-back $A(Z) := i_Z^*A$ of A along the inclusion map $i_Z : Z \rightarrow X$ becomes a C^* -algebra bundle over Z which we call the *restriction* of $A(X)$ to Z . The following lemma is well known. A proof can be found in [12].

Lemma 1.1. *Suppose that $A(X)$ is a C^* -algebra bundle and let Z be a closed subset of X with complement $U := X \setminus Z$. Then there is a canonical short exact sequence of C^* -algebras*

$$0 \rightarrow A(U) \rightarrow A(X) \rightarrow A(Z) \rightarrow 0.$$

The quotient map $A \rightarrow A(Z)$ is given by restriction of sections in $A(X)$ to Z and the inclusion $A(U) \subseteq A(X)$ is given via the $$ -homomorphism*

$$C_0(U) \otimes_{C_0(X)} A(X) \rightarrow A(X); g \otimes a \mapsto g \cdot a$$

(which makes sense since $C_0(U) \subseteq C_0(X)$).

Remark 1.2. It might be useful to remark the following relation between taking pull-backs and restricting to subspaces: assume that A is a $C_0(X)$ -algebra and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map. Then the tensor product $C_0(Y) \otimes A(X)$ becomes a C^* -algebra bundle over $Y \times X$ via the canonical imbedding of $C_0(Y \times X) \cong C_0(Y) \otimes C_0(X)$ into $ZM(C_0(Y) \otimes A)$. The pull-back $f^*A(Y)$ then coincides with the restriction of $C_0(Y) \otimes A(X)$ to the graph $Y \cong \{(y, f(y)) : y \in Y\} \subseteq Y \times X$.

Recall that a continuous map $f : Y \rightarrow X$ between two locally compact spaces is called *proper* if the inverse images of compact sets in X are compact. If $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is proper, then f induces a non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism $\phi_f : C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(Y); g \mapsto g \circ f$. We shall now extend this observation to C^* -algebra bundles.

Lemma 1.3. *Assume that $A(X)$ is a C^* -algebra bundle and that $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a proper map. Then,*

- (i) *for any a in A , the element $1 \otimes a$ of $M(f^*A(Y))$ actually lies in $f^*A(Y) = C_0(Y) \otimes_{C_0(X)} A(X)$;*
- (ii) *there exists a well defined $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi_f : A(X) \rightarrow f^*A(Y)$; given by $\Phi_f(a) = 1 \otimes a$.*

- (iii) If $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ is another proper map, then $\Phi_{f \circ g} = \Phi_g \circ \Phi_f$.
- (iv) In particular, if f is a homeomorphism, then Φ_f is an isomorphism.

Proof. Notice that for any continuous map $f : Y \rightarrow X$ the map $\Phi_f : A(X) \rightarrow M(f^*A(Y))$ given by $\Phi_f(a) = 1 \otimes a$ is a well defined $*$ -homomorphism. Thus we only have to show that, in case where f is proper, the image lies in $f^*A(Y)$. Note that via the above map each $a \in A(X)$ is mapped to the section $\tilde{a}$ of the bundle $f^*A(Y)$ with $\tilde{a}(y) = a(f(y))$. If f is proper, it follows that the norm function $y \mapsto \|\tilde{a}(y)\| = \|a(f(y))\|$ vanishes at ∞ . Now choose a net of compacts $\{C_i\}_{i \in I}$ in Y and continuous compactly supported functions $\chi_i : Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\chi_i|_{C_i} \equiv 1$. Then the image of $\chi_i \otimes a$ in $f^*A(Y)$ will converge to $\tilde{a}$ in norm, which clearly implies that $\tilde{a} \in f^*A(Y)$. All other conditions now follow from the fact that $(g \circ f)^*A(Z)$ is canonically isomorphic to $g^*(f^*A)(Z)$. $\square$

2. CLASSIFICATION OF NON-COMMUTATIVE TORUS BUNDLES

As noted already in the introduction, the NCP torus bundles $A(X)$ can be realized up to $\mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariant Morita equivalence over X as crossed products $C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^n$, equipped with the dual $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action, where $\mathbb{Z}^n$ acts fibre-wise on the trivial bundle $C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$. For the necessary background on equivariant Morita equivalence with respect to dual actions of abelian groups we refer to [7, 8], and for the necessary background on crossed products by fibre-wise actions we refer to [11, 12] or [22]. We should then make the translation of NCP torus bundles to $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -actions more precise:

Proposition 2.1. *Every NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle $A(X)$ is $\mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariantly Morita equivalent over X to some crossed product $C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^n$, where $\mathbb{Z}^n$ acts fibre-wise on $C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$, and where the $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action on $C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^n$ is the dual action.*

Moreover, two bundles $A(X) = C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $B(X) = C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}^n$ are $\mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariantly Morita equivalent over X if and only if the two actions $\alpha, \beta : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$ are Morita equivalent over X .

Using the above Proposition together with the results of [11, 12] we can give a complete classification of NCP torus bundles up to equivariant Morita equivalence over X . In general, if G is a (second countable) locally compact group, it is shown in [11, Proposition 5.1] that two fibre-wise actions α and β on $C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$ are G -equivariantly Morita equivalent over X if and only if they are *exterior equivalent*, i.e., there exists a continuous map $v : G \rightarrow UM(C_0(X, \mathcal{K})) \cong C(X, \mathcal{U})$ such that

$$\alpha_s = \text{Ad } v_s \circ \beta_s \quad \text{and} \quad v_{st} = v_s \beta_s(v_t)$$

for all $s, t \in G$, where $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(l^2(\mathbb{N}))$ denotes the unitary group. Note that exterior equivalent actions have isomorphic crossed products (e.g. see [21]). It is shown in [11] (following the ideas of [4]), that the set $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ of all exterior equivalence classes $[\alpha]$ of fibre-wise actions $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{C_0(X)}(C_0(X, \mathcal{K}))$ forms a group with multiplication given by

$$[\alpha][\beta] = [\alpha \otimes_{C_0(X)} \beta]$$

where $\alpha \otimes_{C_0(X)} \beta$ denotes the diagonal action on

$$C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \otimes_{C_0(X)} C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \cong C_0(X, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \cong C_0(X, \mathcal{K}).$$

Hence, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that the problem of classifying NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles over X up to equivariant Morita equivalence is equivalent to the problem of describing the group $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(X)$!

We first consider the case $X = \{\text{pt}\}$, i.e., the classification of group actions on $\mathcal{K}$ up to exterior equivalence. Let $\mathcal{PU} = \mathcal{U}/\mathbb{T}1$ denote the projective unitary group. Then $\mathcal{PU} \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{K})$ via $[V] \mapsto \text{Ad } V$ and an action of G on $\mathcal{K}$ is a strongly continuous homomorphism $\alpha : G \rightarrow \mathcal{PU}$. Choose a Borel lift $V : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ for α . It is then easy to check that

$$V_s V_t = \omega_\alpha(s, t) V_{st}$$

for some Borel group-cocycle $\omega_\alpha \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$. One can then show that

$$\mathcal{E}_G(\{\text{pt}\}) \rightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{T}); [\alpha] \mapsto [\omega_\alpha]$$

is an isomorphism of groups. To describe the crossed product $\mathcal{K} \rtimes_\alpha G$ in terms of $[\omega_\alpha]$ we have to recall the construction of the *twisted group algebra* $C^*(G, \omega_\alpha)$: It is defined as the enveloping C^* -algebra of the twisted L^1 -algebra $L^1(G, \omega_\alpha)$, which is defined as the Banach space $L^1(G)$ with twisted convolution/involution given by the formulas

$$f *_{\omega_\alpha} g(s) = \int_G f(t) g(t^{-1}s) \omega_\alpha(t, t^{-1}s) dt \quad \text{and} \quad f^*(s) = \Delta(s^{-1}) \overline{\omega_\alpha(s, s^{-1}) f(s^{-1})}.$$

The crossed product $\mathcal{K} \rtimes_\alpha G$ is then isomorphic to $\mathcal{K} \otimes C^*(G, \bar{\omega}_\alpha)$ (e.g. see [7, Theorem 1.4.15]), where $\bar{\omega}_\alpha$ denotes the complex conjugate of ω_α . Notice that up to canonical isomorphism, $C^*(G, \omega_\alpha)$ only depends on the cohomology class of ω_α in $H^2(G, \mathbb{T})$.

If $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$, then one can show that any cocycle $\omega : \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is equivalent to one of the form

$$(2.1) \quad (n, m) \mapsto \omega_\Theta(n, m) = e^{2\pi i \langle \Theta n, m \rangle},$$

where $\Theta \in M(n \times n, \mathbb{R})$ is a strictly upper triangular matrix. If we denote by $u_1, \dots, u_n \in L^1(G, \omega_\Theta)$ the Dirac functions of the unit vectors $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we can check that the twisted group algebra $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^n, \omega_\Theta)$ coincides with the universal C^* -algebra generated by n unitaries $u_1, \dots, u_n$ satisfying the relations

$$u_j u_i = e^{2\pi i \Theta_{ij}} u_i u_j$$

and therefore coincide with what is usually considered as a non-commutative n -torus!

Suppose now that $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_0(X, \mathcal{K}))$ is any fibre-wise action. We then obtain a map $f_\alpha : X \rightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ given by the composition

$$X \xrightarrow{x \mapsto [\alpha^x]} \mathcal{E}_G(\{\text{pt}\}) \xrightarrow{[\alpha^x] \mapsto [\omega_{\alpha^x}]} H^2(G, \mathbb{T}),$$

and it is shown in [11, Lemma 5.3] that this map is actually continuous with respect to the Moore topology on $H^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ as introduced in [20]. Thus we obtain a homomorphism of groups $\Phi : \mathcal{E}_G(X) \rightarrow C(X, H^2(G, \mathbb{T})); \alpha \mapsto f_\alpha$. If G is abelian and compactly generated, then the kernel of this map is isomorphic to the group $\check{H}^1(X, \hat{G})$, which classifies the principal $\hat{G}$ -bundles over X . If $q : Y \rightarrow X$ is such a bundle, the corresponding action $\alpha_Y : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_0(Y, \mathcal{K}))$ is just the dual action of G on $C_0(Y) \rtimes \hat{G} \cong C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$ – you should compare this with the discussion in the introduction. We thus obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X, \hat{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_G(X) \xrightarrow{\Phi} C(X, H^2(G, \mathbb{T})).$$

Recall further that if $1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ is a locally compact central extension of G and if $c : G \rightarrow H$ is any Borel section, then

$$\eta : G \times G \rightarrow Z; \eta(s, t) = c(s)c(t)c(st)^{-1}$$

is a cocycle in $Z^2(G, Z)$ which represents the given extension in $H^2(G, Z)$. The *transgression map*

$$\text{tg} : \widehat{Z} \rightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{T}); \chi \mapsto [\chi \circ \eta]$$

is defined by composition of η with the characters of Z . The extension $1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ is called a *representation group* for G (following [19]) if $\text{tg} : \widehat{Z} \rightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ is an isomorphism of groups. It is shown in [11, Corollary 4.6] that such a representation group exists for all compactly generated abelian groups. Using the obvious homomorphism $Z \rightarrow C(\widehat{Z}, \mathbb{T})$ and composing it with η we obtain a cocycle (also denoted η) in $Z^2(G, C(\widehat{Z}, \mathbb{T}))$ which determines a fibre-wise action $\mu : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_0(\widehat{Z}, \mathcal{K}))$ as described in [11, Theorem 5.4]. Identifying $C(X, H^2(G, \mathbb{T}))$ with $C(X, \widehat{Z})$ via the transgression map, we then obtain a splitting homomorphism

$$F : C(X, \widehat{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_G(X); f \mapsto f^*(\mu),$$

where $f^*(\mu)$ denotes the *pull-back of μ to X via f* , which is defined via the diagonal action

$$f^*(\mu) = \text{id} \otimes_{C(\widehat{Z})} \mu : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_0(X) \otimes_{C_0(\widehat{Z}), f} C_0(\widehat{Z}, \mathcal{K}) \cong C_0(X, \mathcal{K})).$$

Notice that the homomorphism F depends on the choice of the representation group H and is therefore not canonical. However, for $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$ it is unique up to isomorphism of groups by [11, Proposition 4.8]. We can now combine all this in the following statement (note that a similar result holds for many non-abelian groups by [11, Theorem 5.4] and [9]).

Theorem 2.2 (cf [11, Theorem 5.4]). *Suppose that G is a compactly generated second countable abelian group with fixed representation group $0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$. Let X be a second countable locally compact space. Then the exterior equivalence classes of fibre-wise actions of G on $C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$ are classified by all pairs $([q : Y \rightarrow X], f)$, where $[q : Y \rightarrow X]$ denotes the isomorphism class of a principal $\widehat{G}$ -bundle $q : Y \rightarrow X$ over X , and $f \in C(X, \widehat{Z})$.*

The above classification also leads to a direct description of the corresponding crossed products $C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes G$ in terms of the given topological data: It is shown in [12] that if $[\alpha]$ corresponds to the pair $([q : Y \rightarrow X], f)$ then

$$C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes G \cong Y * (f^*(C^*(H))(X)) \otimes \mathcal{K},$$

by a $\widehat{G}$ -equivariant bundle isomorphism over X . Here $f^*(C^*(H))(X)$ is the pull-back of $C^*(H)(\widehat{Z})$, where the $\widehat{Z}$ - C^* -algebra bundle structure of $C^*(H)$ is given by the structure map

$$C_0(\widehat{Z}) \cong C^*(Z) \rightarrow ZM(C^*(H)),$$

with action of $C^*(Z)$ on $C^*(H)$ given by convolution (see [12, Lemma 6.3] for more details). The product $Y * (f^*(C^*(H))(X))$ of the principal $\widehat{G}$ -bundle $q : Y \rightarrow X$ with $f^*(C^*(H))(X)$ is described carefully in [12, §3] and is a generalization of the usual fibre product

$$Y * Z := (Y \times_X Z) / \widehat{G}$$

if $\widehat{G}$ acts fibre-wise on the fibred space $p : Z \rightarrow X$, and diagonally on $Y \times_X Z = \{(y, z) \in Y \times Z : q(y) = p(z)\}$. In this paper we only need the construction in case of $\widehat{G} = \mathbb{T}^n$ being compact, in which case the fibre product $Y * A(X)$ can be defined as the fixed point algebra

$$q^*A(Y)^G = (C_0(Y) \otimes_q A(X))^G$$

under the diagonal action $g(\varphi \otimes a) = g^{-1}(\varphi) \otimes \alpha_g(a)$ for $g \in G$, $\varphi \in C_0(Y)$ and $a \in A(X)$. The G -action on $Y * A(X)$ is then induced by the given G -action on $C_0(Y)$, i.e., we have

$$(Y * \alpha)_g(\varphi \otimes a) = g(\varphi) \otimes a = \varphi \otimes \alpha_g(a).$$

We now specialize to the group $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$. It is shown in [11, Example 4.7] that a representation group for $\mathbb{Z}^n$ can be constructed as the central group extension

$$1 \rightarrow Z_n \rightarrow H_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow 1$$

where Z_n is the additive group of strictly upper triangular integer matrices and $H_n = Z_n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^n$ with multiplication given by

$$(M, m) \cdot (K, l) = (M + K + \eta(m, l), m + l) \quad \text{where} \quad \eta(m, l)_{ij} = l_i m_j.$$

for $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n), l = (l_1, \dots, l_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $T_n := \widehat{Z}_n$ denote the dual group of Z_n . Since Z_n is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$, we have $T_n \cong \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$. If we express a character $\chi \in T_n$ by a real upper triangular matrix $\Theta = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ via

$$\chi_\Theta(M) = \prod_{i < j} (e^{2\pi i a_{ij}})^{m_{ij}} = \exp \left(2\pi i \sum_{i < j} a_{ij} m_{ij} \right),$$

we see that the transgression map $\text{tg} : T_n \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{T}); \chi \mapsto [\chi \circ \eta]$ sends χ_Θ to the class of the cocycle ω_Θ as defined in (2.1) and is an isomorphism of groups.

As a consequence of the above discussion, we see that $C^*(H_n)$ serves as a “universal” NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle over $\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ (where the action of $\mathbb{T}^n$ is the canonical dual action of $\mathbb{T}^n = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}^n}$ on $C^*(H_n)$). We finally arrive at the following classification of non-commutative $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles up to $\mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariant Morita equivalence over X :

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a second countable locally compact space. Then the set of $\mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariant Morita equivalence classes of NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles over X is classified by the set of all pairs $([q : Y \rightarrow X], f)$ with $q : Y \rightarrow X$ a (commutative) principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle over X and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ a continuous map. Given these data, the corresponding equivalence class of NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles is represented by the algebra*

$$A_{(Y, f)}(X) := Y * f^*(C^*(H_n))(X).$$

Remark 2.4. Notice that the group H_2 is just the discrete Heisenberg group. Therefore, the C^* -group algebra $C^*(H_2)$ of the Heisenberg group serves a universal NCP $\mathbb{T}^2$ -bundle in the sense explained above.

There is a natural action of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ on the set of equivalence classes of NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles over X which we want to describe in terms of the above classification. For this we first introduce some notation: If $A(X)$ is an NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle over X and if $\Psi \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, we denote by $A_\Psi(X)$ the NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle which we obtain from $A(X)$ by composing the given action of $\mathbb{T}^n$ by Ψ^{-1} (viewed as an automorphism of $\mathbb{T}^n$). Of course, if we start with a

commutative principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle $q : Y \rightarrow X$, we obtain in the same way a principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle $q_\Psi : Y_\Psi \rightarrow X$.

If we denote for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ by $e_{i,j}$ the elementary matrix of $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ (with 1 in the i -th row and j -th column entry and 0 every else) and by $f_1, \dots, f_n$ the canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, then we have for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ the following relations in H_n :

- the elements $(e_{i,j}, 0)$ are central;
- $(0, f_i)(0, f_j) = (e_{i,j}, 0)(0, f_j)(0, f_i)$.

Moreover, these generators and relations define the group H_n :

Proposition 2.5. *The group H_n is the group defined by the generators $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and $\{y_{i,j}; 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ and the following relations for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$:*

- the element $y_{i,j}$ is central;
- $x_i \cdot x_j = y_{i,j} \cdot x_j \cdot x_i$.

Proof. Let H'_n be the group defined by the above generators and relations. Then we have an obvious epimorphism from $H'_n \rightarrow H_n$. From the universal property of H'_n , we also get an epimorphism $\lambda : H'_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H'_n & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \mathbb{Z}^n \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \downarrow \\ H_n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^n. \end{array}$$

The left vertical arrow gives rise to an epimorphism $\ker \lambda \rightarrow Z_n$, splitted by $e_{i,j} \mapsto x_{i,j}$. In fact, using the commutation rules of H'_n , any word w can be written $x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n} \cdot w'$, where $k_1, \dots, k_n$ belongs to $\mathbb{Z}$ and where w' is a word with letters in $\{y_{i,j}; 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. We then have $\lambda(w) = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$. It follows that $\ker \lambda$ is generated by $\{y_{i,j}; 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. Thus, the epimorphism $H'_n \rightarrow H_n$ induces by restriction an isomorphism $\ker \lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} Z_n$. The result then follows from the Five Lemma. $\square$

Corollary 2.6. *The C^* -algebra $C^*(H_n)$ is the universal C^* -algebra generated by unitaries $U_1, \dots, U_n$ and $\{V_{i,j}; 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ and the following relations for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$:*

- the elements $V_{i,j}$ are central;
- $U_i \cdot U_j = V_{i,j} \cdot U_j \cdot U_i$.

The unitaries $V_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ generate a copy of $C(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}})$ providing the C^* -algebra bundle structure of $C^*(H_n)$ over $\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \cong \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^n)/\Lambda_2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Under this identification, $V_{i,j} = e^{2\pi i f_i^* \wedge f_j^*}$, where $(f_1^*, \dots, f_n^*)$ is the dual basis to the canonical basis $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$. This allows to extend the definition of the unitaries $V_{i,j}$ for values $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$ by setting $V_{i,j} := e^{2\pi i f_i^* \wedge f_j^*}$. Then $U_i \cdot U_j = V_{i,j} \cdot U_j \cdot U_i$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. If we denote by β^n the canonical dual action of $\mathbb{T}^n$ on $C^*(H_n)$, then $\beta^n_{(z_1, \dots, z_n)}(U_k) = z_k U_k$.

Recall that if $A(X)$ is a NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle with $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action $\alpha : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A(X))$ and if Ψ is any matrix of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, then $A_\Psi(X)$ is defined as the NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle with respect to $\alpha \circ \Psi^{-1}$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let Ψ be a matrix of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and let $\Lambda_2 \Psi$ be the automorphism of $\Lambda_2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ induced by Ψ . Then using the identification $\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \cong \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^n)/\Lambda_2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, we have an automorphism $\Upsilon_\Psi : C^*(H_n) \rightarrow C^*(H_n)$ such that*

- (i) $\Upsilon_\Psi(f \cdot x) = f \circ \Lambda_2 \Psi^{-1} \cdot \Upsilon_\Psi(x)$ for all f in $C(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}})$ and all x in $C^*(H_n)$.
- (ii) $\Upsilon_\Psi(\beta_z^n \cdot x) = \beta_{\Psi(z)}^n \cdot \Upsilon_\Psi(x)$, for all z in $\mathbb{T}^n$ and all x in $C^*(H_n)$.

Proof. Notice first that $V_{i,j} \circ \Lambda_2 \Psi^{-1} = e^{2\pi i^t \Psi^{-1} f_i^* \wedge^t \Psi^{-1} f_j^*}$.

- We first prove the result for Ψ given by the elementary matrix $E_{k,l}(m) = I_n + m e_{k,l}$ of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ where $k \neq l$ and m is in $\mathbb{Z}$. Then, for $i \neq j$ and $k \neq l$, we have $E_{k,l}(m)^{-1} = E_{k,l}(-m)$ and

$$(2.2) \quad V_{i,j} \circ \Lambda_2 E_{k,l}(m)^{-1} = V_{i,j} \quad \text{if } k \notin \{i, j\} \text{ or } l \in \{i, j\}$$

$$(2.3) \quad V_{j,k} \circ \Lambda_2 E_{k,l}(m)^{-1} = V_{j,k} V_{j,l}^{-m} \quad \text{if } j \neq k \text{ and } j \neq l.$$

There is a unique morphism $\Upsilon_{E_{k,l}(m)} : C^*(H_n) \rightarrow C^*(H_n)$ with image on generators

$$\begin{aligned} U_i &\mapsto U_i & \text{if } i \neq k \\ U_k &\mapsto U_l^* U_k \\ V_{i,j} &\mapsto V_{i,j} & \text{if } k \notin \{i, j\} \text{ or } l \in \{i, j\} \\ V_{j,k} &\mapsto V_{j,k} V_{j,l}^* & \text{if } j \neq k \text{ and } j \neq l, \end{aligned}$$

since it is straightforward to check that the operators on the right hand side satisfy the relation as given in Corollary 2.6. Moreover, $\Upsilon_{E_{k,l}(m)}$ is an isomorphism with $\Upsilon_{E_{k,l}(m)}^{-1} = \Upsilon_{E_{k,l}(-m)}$. It is enough to check condition (i) on the generators $V_{i,j}$, which is done in equations (2.2) and (2.3). Condition (ii) has to be checked only on the generators U_i . If $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, then the i -th component of $E_{k,l}(m)(z)$ is z_i for $i \neq k$ and $z_k z_l^m$ for $i = k$, and

$$\Upsilon_\Psi(\beta_z^n \cdot U_i) = z_i U_i = \beta_{\Psi(z)}^n \cdot \Upsilon_\Psi(U_i)$$

for $i \neq k$ and

$$\Upsilon_\Psi(\beta_z^n \cdot U_k) = z_k U_l^{-m} \cdot U_k = (z_l \cdot U_l)^{-m} \cdot z_k z_l^m U_k = \beta_{\Psi(z)}^n \cdot \Upsilon_\Psi(U_k).$$

- Let σ be a permutation on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and let $\Psi_\sigma = (\delta_{i, \sigma(j)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be the permutation matrix of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to σ . As before, there is an automorphism Υ_{Ψ_σ} of $C^*(H_n)$ uniquely defined on generators by $U_i \mapsto U_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}$ and $V_{i,j} \mapsto V_{\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(j)}$. Since

$$V_{\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(j)} = V_{i,j} \circ \Lambda_2 \Psi_{\sigma^{-1}} = V_{i,j} \circ \Lambda_2 \Psi_\sigma^{-1}$$

and

$$\beta_{\Psi_\sigma(z)}^n = \beta_{(z_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(n)})},$$

the automorphism Υ_{Ψ_σ} satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of the lemma.

Suppose now that Φ and Ψ are two matrices in $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and let Υ_Φ and Υ_Ψ be automorphisms of $C^*(H_n)$ satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) with respect to Φ and Ψ . Then one easily checks that $\Upsilon_\Phi \circ \Upsilon_\Psi$ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) with respect to $\Phi \circ \Psi$. Since every matrix Φ of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ can be written as a product of elementary matrices and permutation matrices, this completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 2.8. *Let Ψ be a matrix in $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Then the pull-back $(\Lambda_2\Psi)^*C^*(H_n)\left(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\right)$ of $C^*(H_n)\left(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\right)$ with respect to the homeomorphism $\Lambda_2\Psi : \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ and equipped with the $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action $\Lambda_2\Psi^*\beta^n$ is $\mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariantly isomorphic to $C^*(H_n)_\Psi\left(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\right)$, i.e. $C^*(H_n)\left(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\right)$ equipped with the $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action $\beta^n \circ \Psi^{-1}$.*

Proof. Let Υ_Φ be an automorphism of $C^*(H_n)$ as in Lemma 2.7. Then the lemma implies that the morphism $C^*(H_n)\left(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\right) \mapsto \Lambda_2\Psi^*C^*(H_n)\left(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\right); x \mapsto 1 \otimes_{\Lambda_2\Psi} \Upsilon_\Phi(x)$ is an isomorphism of C^* -algebra bundles over $\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ which is equivariant with respect to the prescribed actions. $\square$

Lemma 2.9. *Let $A(X)$ be a NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle and let $q : Y \rightarrow X$ be any principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle. Then, for any $\Psi \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, we have*

$$(Y * A)_\Psi(X) = Y_\Psi * A_\Psi(X).$$

Proof. Recall that $Y * A(X)$ is the fixed-point algebra of $C_0(Y) \otimes_q A(X)$ under the diagonal action of $\mathbb{T}^n$ (taking the inverse action on the first factor). It is immediately clear that this algebra does not change if we compose both actions with any fixed automorphism of $\mathbb{T}^n$. Thus we see that the identity map gives the desired identifications. $\square$

Recall that Theorem 2.3 provides a classification of NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles by pairs $([q : Y \rightarrow X], f)$, where $q : Y \rightarrow X$ is a (commutative) principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ is a continuous map. We are now able to describe the change in these data if we pass from $A(X)$ to $A_\Psi(X)$ for $\Psi \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $A(X)$ be a NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle over a second countable locally compact space X with classifying data $([q : Y \rightarrow X], f)$ as in Theorem 2.3. Then $A_\Psi(X)$ is classified by the data $([q_\Psi : Y_\Psi \rightarrow X], \Lambda_2\Psi \circ f)$ for all $\Psi \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$. More precisely, if $A(X) = Y * (f^*(C^*(H_n)))(X)$, then $A_\Psi(X) = Y_\Psi * ((\Lambda_2\Psi \circ f)^*(C^*(H_n)))(X)$.*

Proof. Since $A(X)$ is classified by the pair $([q : Y \rightarrow X], f)$, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that $A(X)$ is $X \times \mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariantly Morita equivalent to $Y * (f^*C^*(H_n))(X)$. Composing all $\mathbb{T}^n$ -actions by Ψ^{-1} we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f^*C^*(H_n)_\Psi(X) &= C_0(X) \otimes_f C^*(H_n)_\Psi\left(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\right) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} C_0(X) \otimes_f ((\Lambda_2\Psi)^*C^*(H_n))\left(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\right) \\ &= f^*((\Lambda_2\Psi)^*C^*(H_n))(X) \\ &= (\Lambda_2\Psi \circ f)^*C^*(H_n)(X), \end{aligned}$$

where $(*)$ follows from Corollary 2.8. The proof then follows from Lemma 2.9. $\square$

3. LOCAL $\mathcal{R}KK$ -TRIVIALITY OF NCP TORUS BUNDLES

In this section we want to show, among other useful results, that all NCP torus bundles are locally $\mathcal{R}KK$ -trivial. We refer to [18] for the definition of the $\mathcal{R}KK$ -group $\mathcal{R}KK(X; A(X), B(X))$ for two C^* -algebra bundles $A(X)$ and $B(X)$. We only remark here

that the cycles are given by the usual cycles (E, ϕ, T) for Kasparov's bivariant K -theory group $\mathrm{KK}(A(X), B(X))$ with the single additional requirement that the left $C_0(X)$ -actions on the Hilbert bimodule E induced via the left action of $A(X)$ on E coincides with the right $C_0(X)$ -action on E induced by the right action of $B(X)$ on E . We start with

Proposition 3.1. *Let Δ be a contractible and compact space and let $A(\Delta \times X)$ be a NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle over $\Delta \times X$. Then for any element z of Δ , the evaluation map*

$$e_z : A(\Delta \times X) \rightarrow A(\{z\} \times X)$$

gives an invertible class $[e_z] \in \mathcal{RKK}(X; A(\Delta \times X), A(\{z\} \times X))$.

Before proving this proposition, we state an immediate corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Assume that two NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles $A_0(X)$ and $A_1(X)$ are homotopic in the sense that there exists a NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle $A([0, 1] \times X)$ which restricts to $A_0(X)$ and $A_1(X)$ at 0 and 1, respectively. Then $A_0(X)$ and $A_1(X)$ are $\mathcal{RKK}$ -equivalent.*

In view of the classification results of the previous section, we then get

Corollary 3.3. *Assume that $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ are two homotopic continuous functions. Then $f^*C^*(H_n)(X)$ and $g^*C^*(H_n)(X)$ are $\mathcal{RKK}$ -equivalent.*

Proof. Apply the previous corollary to $F^*(C^*(H_n))([0, 1] \times X)$ where $F : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ is a homotopy between f and g . $\square$

The above corollary then easily implies

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that $A(X)$ is a NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle. Then $A(X)$ is locally $\mathcal{RKK}$ -trivial, i.e., for every $x \in X$ there exists an open neighbourhood U of x such that $A(U)$ is $\mathcal{RKK}(U, \cdot, \cdot)$ -equivalent to $C_0(U, A_x)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ be fixed. By the classification results of the previous section we may assume that $A(X) = Y * (f^*(C^*(H_n)))$. But restricting to a small neighbourhood U of x we may assume that Y is the trivial $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle and that f is homotopic to the constant map with value $f(x)$. The result then follows from the previous corollary. $\square$

For the proof of the proposition we need the following strong version of the Thom-Connes isomorphism for crossed products by $\mathbb{R}^n$, which, as we point out below, is due to Kasparov:

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that $A(X)$ is a C^* -algebra bundle and that $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(A(X))$ is a fibre-wise action on $A(X)$. Then there exists an invertible class*

$$t \in \mathcal{RKK}_n(X; A(X), A(X) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n),$$

i.e., the algebra $A(X)$ is $\mathcal{RKK}$ -equivalent to $A(X) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n$ up to a dimension shift of order $n \pmod{2}$.

Proof. We use the Dirac element $D \in \mathrm{KK}_n^{\mathbb{R}^n}(C_0(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathbb{C})$ as constructed by Kasparov in [18, §4], where $\mathbb{R}^n$ acts on itself by the translation action τ (a different, and probably easier description is given in [17]). Since $\mathbb{R}^n$ is amenable, the element D is invertible with inverse

given by the dual-Dirac element $\eta \in \mathcal{KK}_n^{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathbb{C}, C_0(\mathbb{R}^n))$ also constructed in [18, §4]. We then obtain an invertible element

$$\sigma_{A(X)}(D) \in \mathcal{RKK}_n^{\mathbb{R}^n}(X; A(X) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}^n), A(X))$$

by tensoring D with $A(X)$. Using the descent, we obtain an invertible element

$$j_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\sigma_{A(X)}(D)) \in \mathcal{RKK}_n^{\mathbb{R}^n}(X; (A(X) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n, A(X) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n).$$

But the crossed product $(A(X) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n$ is $C_0(X)$ -linearly isomorphic to

$$A(X) \otimes (C_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n) \cong A(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)),$$

where the first isomorphism follows by first identifying $A(X) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n, A(X))$ and then observing that

$$\varphi : C_0(\mathbb{R}^n, A(X)) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}^n, A(X)); (\varphi(g))(s) = \alpha_{-s}(g(s))$$

is a bundle isomorphism which transforms the diagonal action $\alpha \otimes \tau$ to $\text{id} \otimes \tau$ on $A(X) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We then use the general fact that $(A \otimes_{\max} B) \rtimes_{\text{id} \otimes \beta} G \cong A \otimes_{\max} (B \rtimes_{\beta} G)$ together with the fact that $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \rtimes_{\tau} \mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$. We thus obtain a $C_0(X)$ -linear Morita equivalence $A(X) \sim_M (A(X) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n$. Multiplying $j_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\sigma_{A(X)}(D))$ from the right with this Morita equivalence gives the desired invertible element $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathcal{RKK}(X; A(X); A(X) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n)$. $\square$

As a consequence of the above theorem, we now obtain the following lemma:

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose that $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_0(X, \mathcal{K}))$ is any fibre-wise action. Then $A(X) := C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^n$ is, up to a dimension shift of order n , $\mathcal{RKK}(X; \cdot, \cdot)$ -equivalent to a continuous trace algebra $B = B(X \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ with base $X \times \mathbb{T}^n$.*

Proof. Consider the induced algebra $B := \text{Ind}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n} C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$, which can be defined as the set of all bounded continuous functions $F : \mathbb{R}^n \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$F(s + m, x) = \alpha_m^x(F(s, x)) \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}^n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ and } x \in X.$$

It is shown in [28] that this is a continuous trace algebra with base $X \times (\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n) \cong X \times \mathbb{T}^n$, since $\mathbb{Z}^n$ acts trivially on X . Moreover, it follows from Green's imprimitivity theorem (e.g. see [31] for a complete treatment) that the crossed product $A(X) = C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^n$ is Morita equivalent over X to $(\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n} C_0(X, \mathcal{K})) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\mathbb{R}^n$ acts on the induced algebra by translation in the first variable of F . Using the above strong version of the Thom isomorphism, we see that $A(X) = C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^n$ is $\mathcal{RKK}(X; \cdot, \cdot)$ -equivalent to $B(X \times \mathbb{T}^n) := \text{Ind}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n} C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$ up to a dimension shift of order n . $\square$

We are now ready for

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $A(\Delta \times X) = C_0(\Delta \times X, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^n$ as in Proposition 2.1. It follows then from Lemma 3.6 that $A(\Delta \times X) = C_0(\Delta \times X, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^n$ is $\mathcal{RKK}(\Delta \times X; \cdot, \cdot)$ -equivalent to a continuous trace algebra $B(\Delta \times X \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ with Dixmier-Douady class δ in $H^3(\Delta \times X \times \mathbb{T}^n, \mathbb{Z})$. Since Δ is compact and contractible, $\delta = \pi^*(\delta')$, where δ' lies in $H^3(X \times \mathbb{T}^n, \mathbb{Z})$ and π^* is the isomorphism induced in cohomology by the projection $\pi : \Delta \times X \times \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{T}^n$. Hence this bundle is isomorphic to some algebra of the form $\pi^*D(X \times \mathbb{T}^n) = C(\Delta, D(X \times \mathbb{T}^n))$ as C^* -algebra bundles over $\Delta \times X \times \mathbb{T}^n$, where $D(X \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ a continuous trace algebra over

$X \times \mathbb{T}^n$. Since Δ is a contractible compact space, the evaluation e_z at an element z of Δ induces an $\mathcal{R}KK(X; \cdot, \cdot)$ -equivalence. $\square$

4. THE K -THEORY GROUP BUNDLE OF A NCP TORUS BUNDLES.

Suppose that X is a locally compact space. By an (abelian) *group bundle*

$$\mathcal{G} := \{G_x : x \in X\}$$

we understand a family of groups G_x , $x \in X$, together with group isomorphisms $c_\gamma : G_x \rightarrow G_y$ for each continuous path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ which starts at x and ends at y , such that the following additional requirements are satisfied:

- (i) If γ and γ' are homotopic paths from x to y , then $c_\gamma = c_{\gamma'}$.
- (ii) If $\gamma_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ and $\gamma_2 : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ are paths from y to z and from x to y , respectively, then

$$c_{\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2} = c_{\gamma_1} \circ c_{\gamma_2},$$

where $\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2 : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is the usual composition of paths.

It follows from the above requirements, that if X is path connected, then all groups G_x are isomorphic and that we get a canonical action of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ on each fibre G_x .

A morphism between two group bundles $\mathcal{G} = \{G_x : x \in X\}$ and $\mathcal{G}' = \{G'_x : x \in X\}$ is a family of group homomorphisms $\phi_x : G_x \rightarrow G'_x$ which commutes with the maps c_γ . The trivial group bundle is the bundle with every G_x equal to a fixed group G and all maps c_γ being the identity. We then write $X \times G$ for this bundle. If X is path connected, then a given group bundle $\mathcal{G}$ on X can be trivialized if and only if the action of $\pi_1(X)$ on the fibres G_x are trivial. In that case every path γ from a base point x to a base point y induces the same morphism $c_{x,y} : G_x \rightarrow G_y$ and the family of maps $\{c_{x_0,x} : x \in X\}$ is a group bundle isomorphism between the trivial group bundle $X \times G_{x_0}$ and the given bundle $\mathcal{G} = \{G_x : x \in X\}$ if we fix a base point x_0 .

Suppose now that $A(X)$ is an NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle over X . Recall from Proposition 3.1 that for any compact contractible space Δ and any map $f : \Delta \rightarrow X$ the evaluation map $\epsilon_t : f^*A(\Delta) \rightarrow A_{f(t)}$ is a KK-equivalence. This implies in particular, that $A(X)$ is KK-fibration in the sense of the following definition:

Definition 4.1. A C^* -algebra bundle $A(X)$ is called a *KK-fibration* (resp. *K-fibration*) if for every compact contractible space Δ and any $v \in \Delta$ the evaluation map $ev_v : f^*A(\Delta) \rightarrow A_{f(v)}$ is a KK-equivalence (resp. induces an isomorphism of $K_*(f^*A(\Delta)) \cong K_*(A_{f(v)})$).

Proposition 4.2. Suppose that $A(X)$ is a *K-fibration*. For any path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ with starting point x and endpoint y let $c_\gamma : K_*(A_x) \rightarrow K_*(A_y)$ denote the composition

$$(4.1) \quad K_*(A_x) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\epsilon_{0,*}^{-1}} K_*(\gamma^*A) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\epsilon_{1,*}} K_*(A_y)$$

Then $\mathcal{K}_*(A) := \{K_*(A_x) : x \in X\}$ together with the above defined maps c_γ is a group bundle over X .

Proof. It is clear that constant paths induce the identity maps and that $c_{\gamma \cdot \gamma'} = c_\gamma \circ c_{\gamma'}$, where $\gamma \cdot \gamma'$ denotes composition of paths. Moreover, if $\Gamma : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is a homotopy between the paths γ_0 and γ_1 with equal starting and endpoints, then c_{γ_0} and c_{γ_1} both coincide with the composition $\epsilon_{(1,1),*} \circ \epsilon_{(0,0),*}^{-1}$, where $\epsilon_{(0,0)}$ and $\epsilon_{(1,1)}$ denote evaluation of Γ^*A at the respective corners of $[0, 1]^2$. Hence we see that c_γ only depends on the homotopy class of γ . $\square$

Definition 4.3. Suppose that $A(X)$ is a K -fibration (e.g., if $A(X)$ is a NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle). Then $\mathcal{K}_*(A) := \{K_*(A_x) : x \in X\}$ together with the maps $c_\gamma : K_*(A_y) \rightarrow K_*(A_x)$ is called the *K -theory group bundle* associated to $A(X)$.

Remark 4.4. If $A(X)$ and $B(X)$ are two K -fibrations, then a class $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathcal{RKK}(X, A(X), B(X))$ determines a morphism $\mathfrak{x}_* : \mathcal{K}_*(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_*(B)$ given fibrewise by taking right Kasparov products with the evaluations $\mathfrak{x}(x) \in \mathcal{KK}(A_x, B_x)$. Of course, these maps are isomorphisms of group bundles if and only if $\mathfrak{x}(x)$ induces an isomorphism $K_*(A_x) \cong K_*(B_x)$ for all $x \in X$. This is certainly true if all $\mathfrak{x}(x)$ are invertible in $\mathcal{KK}(A_x, B_x)$.

In what follows next, we want to show that the K -theory group bundle of a NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle $A(X)$ does not change if we twist this bundle with any principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle $Y \xrightarrow{q} X$, i.e., we have $\mathcal{K}_*(A(X)) = \mathcal{K}_*(Y * A(X))$ for all such Y (see §2 for the notation).

Recall that $Y * A(X)$ is the fixed-point algebra of $q^*A = C_0(Y) \otimes_q A$ with respect to the diagonal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -actions (taking the inverse action on the first factor). Evaluating at the fibres, we see that an element $b \in q^*A(X)$ is in $Y * A(X)$ if and only if $b(zy) = z^{-1} \cdot b(y)$, where on the right-hand side we consider the action of $z^{-1} \in \mathbb{T}^n$ on the fibre $q^*A_y = A_{q(y)}$. The fibre of $q^*A(X)$ over $x \in X$ is then given by $C(Y_x, A_x)$ with $Y_x = q^{-1}(\{x\}) \cong \mathbb{T}^n$, and hence the fibre $(Y * A)_x$ of $Y * A(X)$ at $x \in X$ is canonically isomorphic to the fixed point algebra of $C(Y_x, A_x) \cong C(\mathbb{T}^n, A_x)$ under the action

$$(z \cdot \varphi)(y) = z^{-1} \cdot \varphi(zy) \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{T}^n, \varphi \in C(Y_x, A_x),$$

from which we easily see that evaluation at any point $y \in Y_x$ induces a $\mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$(Y * A)_x \cong A_x \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

More generally, if x is given, we can choose an open neighbourhood U of x which trivializes the principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle Y . Let $\phi : U \times \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow q^{-1}(U)$ be a trivializing map. Then $Y * A(U)$ is the fixed point algebra of

$$\begin{aligned} q^*A(q^{-1}(U)) &= C_0(q^{-1}(U)) \otimes_{C_0(U)} A(U) \\ (4.2) \quad &\stackrel{\phi}{\cong} C_0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U) \otimes_{C_0(U)} A(U) \\ &\cong C(\mathbb{T}^n, A(U)). \end{aligned}$$

Composing this chain of isomorphisms with

$$C(\mathbb{T}^n, A(U)) \rightarrow A(U); f \mapsto f(1),$$

we obtain an isomorphism

$$\Psi_\phi : Y * A(U) \rightarrow A(U)$$

between the fixed point algebra $Y * A(U)$ of $q^*A(q^{-1}(U))$ and $A(U)$. Looking carefully at the constructions, one finds that Ψ_ϕ sends a section $b \in Y * A(U)$, which is given by a $\mathbb{T}^n$ -invariant section in $q^*A(q^{-1}(U))$, to the section of $A(U)$ given by $x \mapsto b(\phi(1, x))$. At the

point $x \in U$ this induces the isomorphism $Y * A_x \cong A_x$ considered above given by evaluation at $y = \phi(x, 1) \in Y_x$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let X and Z be locally compact spaces, let $A(X)$ be a C^* -algebra bundle over X equipped with a fiber-wise action of $\mathbb{T}^n$ and let $Y \xrightarrow{q} X$ be a principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle. For any continuous map $h : Z \rightarrow X$, we denote by $h^*Y \xrightarrow{q_h} Z$ the principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle pulled back from $Y \xrightarrow{q} X$ by h . Then $h^*(Y * A)$ and $h^*Y * h^*A$ are canonically isomorphic C^* -algebra bundles over Z and the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_*(h^*(Y * A)_z) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_*((h^*Y * h^*A)_z) \\ \lambda_{h(z)} \downarrow & & \lambda_z \downarrow \\ K_*(A_{h(z)}) & \xrightarrow{=} & K_*(A_{h(z)}), \end{array}$$

where

- the top isomorphism is induced by the bundle-isomorphism $h^*(Y * A) \rightarrow h^*Y * h^*A$ at the fibre over z ,
- the left vertical arrow is given by the isomorphism $h^*(Y * A)_z = (Y * A)_{h(z)} \cong A_{h(z)}$,
- the right vertical arrow is given by the isomorphism $(h^*Y * h^*A)_z \cong (h^*A)_z \cong A_{h(z)}$,

with $(Y * A)_{h(z)} \cong A_{h(z)}$ and $(h^*Y * h^*A)_z \cong (h^*A)_z$ as in the above discussion.

Proof. The algebra $h^*Y * h^*A$ is the fixed point algebra of

$$(C_0(Z) \otimes_{C_0(X)} C_0(Y)) \otimes_{C_0(Z)} (C_0(Z) \otimes_{C_0(X)} A)$$

under the diagonal action of $\mathbb{T}^n$ which is given by the $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action on Y for the first factor and the inverse of the given $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action on A for the second. On the other hand, $h^*(Y * A) = C_0(Z) \otimes_{C_0(X)} (Y * A)$ can be identified with the fixed point algebra of

$$C_0(Z) \otimes_{C_0(X)} (C_0(Y) \otimes_{C_0(X)} A),$$

with $\mathbb{T}^n$ acting on Y and A precisely as above. Thus, to see that the fixed point algebras are isomorphic, it suffices to find an isomorphism

$$(C_0(Z) \otimes_{C_0(X)} C_0(Y)) \otimes_{C_0(Z)} (C_0(Z) \otimes_{C_0(X)} A) \cong C_0(Z) \otimes_{C_0(X)} (C_0(Y) \otimes_{C_0(X)} A),$$

which respects this $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action. But it is straight-forward to check that such isomorphism is given on elementary tensors by

$$(g_1 \otimes_X f) \otimes_Z (g_2 \otimes_X a) \mapsto g_1 g_2 \otimes_X (f \otimes_X a)$$

whenever $g_1, g_2 \in C_0(Z)$, $f \in C_0(Y)$ and $a \in A$. Let us denote this isomorphism by Ψ and we denote the induced isomorphism on the fixed-point algebras by

$$\Psi^{\mathbb{T}^n} : h^*Y * h^*A \rightarrow h^*(Y * A).$$

This map is certainly $C_0(Z)$ -linear, and since on both algebras $h^*Y * h^*A$ and $h^*(Y * A)$ the $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action is induced by the given action on the factor $C_0(Y)$, it is also $\mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariant. This proves the first statement of the lemma.

In order to prove commutativity of the K -theory diagram, we first note that for a given $z \in Z$ the fibres of $(h^*Y * h^*A)_z$ and $h^*(Y * A)_z$ are the fixed point algebras of the fibres over z of the algebras

$$(C_0(Z) \otimes_{C_0(X)} C_0(Y)) \otimes_{C_0(Z)} (C_0(Z) \otimes_{C_0(X)} A) \quad \text{and} \quad C_0(Z) \otimes_{C_0(X)} (C_0(Y) \otimes_{C_0(X)} A),$$

respectively. In both cases, this fibre is given by $C_0(Y_{h(z)}, A_{h(z)})$, with $Y_{h(z)} = q^{-1}(\{h(z)\}) \subseteq Y$, where for the first algebra the quotient map sends an elementary tensor $(g_1 \otimes_X f) \otimes_Z (g_2 \otimes_X a)$ to $g_1(z)g_2(z)(f|_{Y_{h(z)}} \otimes a(h(z)))$ and for the second algebra an elementary tensor $g \otimes_X (f \otimes_X a)$ is mapped to $g(z)(f|_{Y_{h(z)}} \otimes a(h(z)))$. It follows from this description that the isomorphism Ψ constructed above intertwines these evaluations at z , and hence the isomorphism $\Psi^{\mathbb{T}^n} : h^*Y * h^*A \rightarrow h^*(Y * A)$ induces the identity on the fixed point algebra of $C_0(Y_{h(z)}, A_{h(z)})$ under these evaluations. The homomorphisms in the vertical arrows of the diagram in the lemma now only depend on the choice of two possible elements $y_1, y_2 \in Y_{h(z)}$ on which we evaluate an invariant function $f \in C(Y_{h(z)}, A_{h(z)})$. Since $\mathbb{T}^n$ acts transitively on $Y_{h(z)}$ we find $u \in \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $y_1 = u \cdot y_2$. We then have

$$\lambda_{h(z)}(f) = f(y_1) = f(u \cdot y_2) = u^{-1} \cdot (f(y_2)) = u^{-1}(\lambda_z(f)).$$

this shows that on the level of algebras, the diagram in the Lemma commutes up to an automorphism of the fibre $A_{h(z)}$ given by the action of a fixed element $u \in \mathbb{T}^n$. Since $\mathbb{T}^n$ is connected, this automorphism is homotopic to the identity, and therefore induces the identity map on $K_*(A_{h(z)})$. $\square$

Remark 4.6. Note that we saw in the discussion preceding the above lemma, that the isomorphism $\lambda_x : (Y * A)_x \cong A_x$ depends on a choice of an element $y \in Y_x$. However, the last argument in the above proof shows that the K -theory map $\lambda_{x,*} : K_*((Y * A)_x) \cong K_*(A_x)$ is independent from this choice.

We are now ready to show that the K -theory group bundle of a NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle $A(X)$ is invariant under the action of a principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle $Y \xrightarrow{q} X$:

Lemma 4.7. *Let $A(X)$ be an NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle and let $Y \xrightarrow{q} X$ be any principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle over X . Then for any path $\mu : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ there is a $C[0, 1]$ -isomorphism $\Lambda : \mu^*(Y * A(X)) \rightarrow \mu^*A(X)$ such that for any $t \in [0, 1]$, the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_*(\mu^*(Y * A)) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{t,*}} & K_*((Y * A)_{\mu(t)}) \\ \Lambda_* \downarrow & & \lambda_{\mu(t)} \downarrow \\ K_*(\mu^*A) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{t,*}} & K_*(A_{\mu(t)}), \end{array}$$

where ϵ_t is the evaluation at t . As a consequence, the K -theory group bundles of $A(X)$ and of $Y * A(X)$ coincide.

Proof. According to Lemma 4.5, the algebras $\mu^*(Y * A)$ and $\mu^*Y * \mu^*A$ are $C[0, 1]$ -isomorphic. Since $[0, 1]$ is contractible, the principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle μ^*Y is trivializable. In view of the discussion at the beginning of the subsection, the choice of a trivialization induces a $C[0, 1]$ -isomorphism $\Lambda : \mu^*(Y * A) \rightarrow \mu^*A$ and for t in $[0, 1]$, we have $\Lambda_{t,*} = \lambda_{\mu(t)}$. The commutativity of the diagram is now just the compatibility between Λ and the evaluation maps. $\square$

5. THE ACTION OF $\pi_1(X)$ IN THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL CASE

In this section we want to study the K -theory group bundle for NCP $\mathbb{T}^2$ -bundles. In this case the representation group H_2 is the discrete Heisenberg group, and we have to study the group algebra $C^*(H_2)$ as a NCP $\mathbb{T}^2$ -bundle over $\mathbb{T}$.

Recall that $C^*(H_2)$ is the C^* -algebra generated by the unitaries U, V and W and the relations

$$UW = WU, VW = WV \text{ and } UV = WVU.$$

The $C(\mathbb{T})$ -structure is then provided by the central unitary W and the fiber at $z = e^{2\pi i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}$, for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, is the noncommutative torus A_θ generated by the unitaries U_θ and V_θ and the relation $U_\theta V_\theta = e^{2\pi i\theta} V_\theta U_\theta$. The K -theory groups for noncommutative tori are

$$K_0(A_\theta) \cong K_1(A_\theta) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

In order to describe the action of $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ on the K -theory group bundle of $C^*(H_2)$, we shall first recall the standard generators for $K_*(A_\theta)$:

- The standard generators for $K_1(A_\theta)$ are the classes of the unitaries U_θ and V_θ .
- If $\theta \in (0, 1)$, then the standard generators for $K_0(A_\theta)$ are the class of the trivial projector $1 \in A_\theta$ and the class of the Rieffel projector p_θ .

The standard two generators for $K_0(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$ are

- the class of the trivial projector $1 \in C(\mathbb{T}^2)$;
- the Bott element β , i.e the unique element in $K_0(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$ with Chern character equal to the class in $H^2(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R})$ of the volume form of the 2-torus.

It follows from Proposition 4.2 together with the discussions preceding it, that we obtain a natural action of $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{Z}$ on $K_*(A_z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$ and we are now going to compute this action. For $z = 1$, the action of the loop generating $\pi_1(\mathbb{T})$

$$\nu : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}; \theta \mapsto e^{2i\pi\theta}$$

on $K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$ arising from the K -fibration is given by $\epsilon_{1,*} \circ \epsilon_{0,*}^{-1}$ where

$$\epsilon_j : \nu^*(C^*(H_2)) \longrightarrow A_j \cong C(\mathbb{T}^2)$$

is the evaluation at j for $j = 0, 1$. Since the generators U and V of $C^*(H_2)$ provide global sections of unitaries of the C^* -algebra bundle $C^*(H_2)(\mathbb{T})$ and since $U(1)$ and $V(1)$ are the standard generators of $K_1(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$, we see that the action of the loop ν on $K_1(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$ is trivial. The unit $1 \in C^*(H_2)$ also provides a global section which evaluates at $1 \in C(\mathbb{T}^2)$, from which we deduce that $[1] \in K_0(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$ is also left invariant by the action of the loop ν . Let us now compute the image under $\epsilon_{1,*} \circ \epsilon_{0,*}^{-1}$ of the generator β . For this we define the morphism

$$\iota_\nu : C[0, 1] \rightarrow \nu^*(C^*(H_2)) = C[0, 1] \otimes_\nu C^*(H_2); f \mapsto f \otimes_\nu 1$$

and we set

$$U' = 1 \otimes_\nu U \text{ and } V' = 1 \otimes_\nu V$$

in $\nu^*(C^*(H_2))$. Let us define a $\nu^*(C^*(H_2))$ -valued inner product on $C_c([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R})$ by

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \iota_\nu(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{m,n}) U'^m V'^n,$$

where for any pair of integers (n, m) , $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{m,n}$ is the continuous function on $C[0, 1]$ defined by

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{m,n}(\theta) = (\theta + 1) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \bar{\xi}(\theta, x + m\theta + m) \nu(\theta, x) e^{-2i\pi n x} dx \quad \theta \in [0, 1].$$

Evaluation of $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle$ at each fiber $\theta \in [0, 1]$ is positive in A_θ (see [29]) and thus $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle$ is a positive element of $\nu^*(C^*(H_2))$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the completion of $C_c([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R})$ with respect to the above inner product. There is a right action of $C[0, 1]$ on $\mathcal{E}$ which is given on $C_c([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R})$ by pointwise multiplication and a right action of $C^*(H_2)$ on $\mathcal{E}$ given in the following way:

- W acts by pointwise multiplication by ν ;
- $\xi \cdot U(\theta, x) = \xi(\theta, x + \theta + 1)$ for every $\xi \in C_c([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R})$;
- $\xi \cdot V(\theta, x) = e^{2\pi i x} \xi(\theta, x)$ for every $\xi \in C_c([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R})$.

The action of $C[0, 1]$ and of $C^*(H_2)$ on $\mathcal{E}$ commute and thus induce an action of $C[0, 1] \otimes C^*(H_2)$. It is straightforward to check that this action factorizes through an action of $\nu^*(C^*(H_2)) = C[0, 1] \otimes_\nu C^*(H_2)$ on $\mathcal{E}$. We define in this way a $\nu^*(C^*(H_2))$ -right Hilbert module structure. The algebra $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ of compact operators on $\mathcal{E}$ is a $C[0, 1]$ -algebra and according to [29] the fiber of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ at any $\theta \in [0, 1]$ is unital.

The following well known lemma (e.g., see [31, Proposition C.24]) applies to the $C[0, 1]$ -algebra $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}) + \mathbb{C} \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}}$ to prove that $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ is a unital C^* -algebra.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $A(X)$ be a C^* -algebra bundle over the compact space X and let $B(X) \subseteq A(X)$ be any closed $C_0(X)$ -invariant $*$ -subalgebra of $A(X)$ with $A_x = B_x$ for every $x \in X$. Then $A(X) = B(X)$.*

As a consequence the pair $(\mathcal{E}, 0)$ defines a class in $\text{KK}(\mathbb{C}, \nu^*(C^*(H_2))) = K_0(\nu^*(C^*(H_2)))$ which we shall denote by $[\mathcal{E}]$. In order to express

$$\epsilon_{0,*}([\mathcal{E}]) \in K_0(C(\mathbb{T}^2)) \text{ and } \epsilon_{1,*}([\mathcal{E}]) \in K_0(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$$

in the basis of standard generators, we need to compute for both of these elements

- their rank;
- the image of the Chern character under the fundamental class in homology of $\mathbb{T}^2$.

Both computations have been carried out in [3, p. 232]¹ from where we deduce

$$\epsilon_{0,*}([\mathcal{E}]) = [1] + \beta \text{ and } \epsilon_{1,*}([\mathcal{E}]) = 2[1] + \beta.$$

Eventually, the action of the loop ν on $\epsilon_{\theta,*}([\mathcal{E}]) \in K_0(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$ is given on the basis of standard generators $([1], \beta)$ by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. For any irrational $\theta \in (0, 1)$, Rieffel computes in [29, Theorem 1.4] that $\tau(\epsilon_{\theta,*}([\mathcal{E}])) = \theta + 1$, and hence we get from the injectivity of the trace on $K_0(A_\theta)$ that

$$\epsilon_{\theta,*}([\mathcal{E}]) = [p_\theta] + [1],$$

¹Actually in [3] the second computation was carried out in cyclic cohomology. The image of the Chern character was under the higher trace (i.e a pairing with a cycle) corresponding to the fundamental class of $\mathbb{T}^2$ under the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg isomorphism between de Rham homology of $\mathbb{T}^2$ and the cyclic cohomology of $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$.

where $p_\theta \in A_\theta$ denotes the Rieffel-projection. Thus, the action of the (oriented) generator of $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ on $K_0(A_\theta)$ is given on the basis of generators $([1], [p_\theta])$ by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. In what follows, we will denote by M_z the endomorphism of $K_0(C^*(H_2)_z)$ corresponding to the action of the oriented generator of $\pi_1(\mathbb{T})$.

Assume now that $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is any continuous map from the path connected locally compact space X to $\mathbb{T}$ and let $x_0 \in X$ be any chosen base-point of X . Next we want to compute the K -theory group bundle of the pull-back $f^*C^*(H_2)(X)$, which amounts in computing the action of $\pi_1(X)$ on the fibre $K_*(A_{f(x_0)})$. Note that f induces a map

$$\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \gamma \mapsto \langle f, \gamma \rangle,$$

where for any continuous map $h : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow X$ representing γ , the integer $\langle f, \gamma \rangle$ is the winding number of $f \circ h : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let X be a path connected locally compact space and let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be a continuous map. Then for any fixed x_0 in X , the action of $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ on $K_*(C^*(H_2)_{f(x_0)})$ arising from the NCP $\mathbb{T}^2$ -bundle $f^*(C^*(H_2))$ is trivial on $K_1(C^*(H_2)_{f(x_0)})$ and it is given by $M_{f(x_0)}^{\langle f, \gamma \rangle}$ on $K_0(C^*(H_2)_{f(x_0)})$.*

Proof. Let us represent $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ by a continuous map $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that $g(0) = g(1) = x_0$. Then the action of γ on $K_*(C^*(H_2)_{f(x_0)})$ is given by $\epsilon_{0,*} \circ \epsilon_{1,*}^{-1}$ where

$$\epsilon_j : g^*(f^*(C^*(H_2))) \longrightarrow C^*(H_2)_{f(x_0)}$$

is the evaluation at $j = 0, 1$ of the $C[0, 1]$ -algebra

$$g^*(f^*(C^*(H_2))) \cong (f \circ g)^*(C^*(H_2)).$$

The map $f \circ g$, viewed as a map on the circle, has winding number $n = \langle f, \gamma \rangle$. Hence it follows from the above computations for the single loop of $\mathbb{T}$ that the action of the loop $f \circ g$ on $K_*(C^*(H_2)_{f(g(0))}) = K_*(C^*(H_2)_{f(x_0)})$ is $M_{f(x_0)}^n$. $\square$

6. THE ACTION OF $\pi_1(X)$ IN THE GENERAL CASE

In this section we want to extend the results of the previous section to NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles for arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We do this by exploiting the results for $\mathbb{T}^2$ -bundles obtained above. The key for this is the study of the “universal” NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles $C^*(H_n)$ over $\widehat{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$, where

$$1 \rightarrow Z_n \rightarrow H_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow 1$$

is the representation group of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ as described in §2. To be more precise, as we shall see below, the restriction of $C^*(H_n)$ to certain “basic circles” gives a direct link between the $\mathbb{T}^n$ case and the $\mathbb{T}^2$ -case.

Consider the circles $\mathbb{T}_{ij}$ which are given by the characters of the i, j -th coordinate of $\mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ for $i < j$. These are represented by those matrices Θ which are everywhere zero except in the entry Θ_{ij} . We call $\mathbb{T}_{ij}, i < j$, a *basic circle* in $\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$. (If we identify $\mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ with the integer valued strictly upper triangular matrices). In what follows below we shall need a careful description of the restriction $C^*(H_n)|_{\mathbb{T}_{ij}}$ of the universal NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle $C^*(H_n)$ to the basic circles $\mathbb{T}_{ij}$. In order to do this, we should first state some basic facts:

first of all, if $A(X)$ is any C^* -algebra bundle with fibres A_x , then the space $\widehat{A(X)}$ of unitary equivalence classes of irreducible representations of $A(X)$ is the disjoint union $\cup_{x \in X} \widehat{A_x}$, where the representations of A_x are regarded as representations of $A(X)$ via composition with the quotient map $\epsilon_x : A(X) \rightarrow A_x$ (e.g., see [5, Theorem 10.4.3]). If we regard $C^*(H_n)$ as a bundle over $\widehat{Z}_n$ with bundle structure given via convolution with $C_0(\widehat{Z}_n) \cong C^*(Z_n)$, then we can identify

$$\widehat{C^*(H_n)}_\chi = \{\pi \in \widehat{C^*(H_n)} : \pi|_{Z_n} = \chi \cdot 1_\pi\},$$

where we recall that by Schur's lemma every irreducible representation of H_n restricts on Z_n to a multiple of a character $\chi \in Z_n = Z(H_n)$. Using these facts, we get

Lemma 6.1. *The restriction $C^*(H_n)|_{\mathbb{T}_{ij}}$ of $C^*(H_n)$ to the basic circle $\mathbb{T}_{ij}$ is canonically isomorphic to $C^*(H_2) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^{n-2})$, as a non-commutative $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle over $\mathbb{T} \cong \mathbb{T}_{ij}$, where the $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action on $C^*(H_2) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^{n-2})$ is given via the action of the i th and j th coordinates as the 1st and 2nd coordinates of the non-commutative $\mathbb{T}^2$ -bundle $C^*(H_2)$ and the other coordinates acting (by their numerical order) on the coordinates of the factor $C(\mathbb{T}^{n-2})$.*

Proof. We actually prove that $C^*(H_n)|_{\mathbb{T}_{ij}}$ is isomorphic to the C^* -group algebra of the group H_n/Z_{ij} , where $Z_{ij} := \{M \in Z_n : M_{ij} = 0\}$. Indeed, we have a canonical quotient map $q : C^*(H_n) \rightarrow C^*(H_n/Z_{ij})$ and the kernel of this quotient map is the intersection of all C^* -kernels of the irreducible representations of H_n/Z_{ij} , viewed as representations of H_n . But an arbitrary irreducible representation of H_n restricts to the trivial representation of Z_{ij} if and only if it restricts to a character in the basic circle $\mathbb{T}_{ij}$ as described above. Thus it follows from the above mentioned basic facts that $C^*(H_n)|_{\mathbb{T}_{ij}}$ is isomorphic to $C^*(H_n/Z_{ij})$, and this isomorphism is clearly a non-commutative $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle isomorphism if we equip $C^*(H_n/Z_{ij})$ with the $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle structure coming from the central extension

$$1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z} \cong Z_n/Z_{ij}) \rightarrow H_n/Z_{ij} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow 1.$$

The result then follows from the fact that the above extension is isomorphic to the extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow 1$$

if we permute the i th and j th coordinate of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ in the first extension to the first and second coordinate of H_2 in the second extension. $\square$

We are now going to compute the action of $\pi_1\left(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ on $K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^n))$ arising from the K -fibration $C^*(H_n)$ (note that $C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is the fiber at $(1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ of $C^*(H_n)$). For this purpose, we will need an explicit description of $K_0(C(\mathbb{T}^n))$. We define for $i = 1, \dots, n$ the continuous functions

$$u_i : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; (z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto z_i.$$

Let $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and let $\Lambda_*(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ be the exterior algebra of $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Lemma 6.2. *There is an isomorphism of unital algebra $\Lambda_*(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^n))$ uniquely defined on generators of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ by $e_i \mapsto [u_i]$.*

Proof. Since the product

$$K_1(C(\mathbb{T}^n)) \times K_1(C(\mathbb{T}^n)) \rightarrow K_0(C(\mathbb{T}^n))$$

is skew-symmetric and by the universal property of the exterior algebra, the morphism of abelian groups

$$\mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow K_1(C(\mathbb{T}^n)); e_i \mapsto [u_i]$$

extends in a unique way to a morphism of unital $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebras

$$\Lambda_*(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^n)).$$

This is clearly an isomorphism for $n = 1$. Since we have isomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebras

$$\Lambda_*(\mathbb{Z}^n) \cong \Lambda_*(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}) \otimes \Lambda_*(\mathbb{Z}^2)$$

and

$$K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^n)) \cong K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \otimes K_*(C(\mathbb{T}))),$$

the result follows for all other positive integers n by induction. $\square$

In what follows below we write $z_{k,l}$ for the k, l -th component of $\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ for all $1 \leq k < l \leq n$. Then $\pi_1\left(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ has generators $\{\gamma_{i,j} := [\nu_{i,j}] : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ with

$$\nu_{i,j} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \quad (\nu_{i,j})_{(k,l)}(\theta) = \begin{cases} e^{2\pi i \theta} & \text{if } (k,l) = (i,j) \\ 1 & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

Let $M_{i,j}$ denote the automorphism of the fibre $\Lambda_*(\mathbb{Z}^n) \cong K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^n))$ on the K -theory bundle $\mathcal{K}_*(C^*(H_n))$ at $(1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ given by the action of the generator $\gamma_{i,j} = [\nu_{i,j}] \in \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}})$. It is given by $\epsilon_{1,*} \circ \epsilon_{0,*}^{-1}$ where

$$\epsilon_l : \gamma_{i,j}^*(C^*(H_n)) \longrightarrow C^*(H_n)_{(1,\dots,1)} \cong C(\mathbb{T}^n)$$

is the evaluation at $l = 0, 1$ of the $C[0, 1]$ -algebra $\nu_{i,j}^*(C^*(H_n))$. Since we have the obvious identification

$$\nu_{i,j}^*(C^*(H_n)) \cong \nu_{i,j}^*(C^*(H_n)|_{\mathbb{T}_{i,j}}),$$

where on the right hand side we identify $\nu_{i,j}$ with the standard positively oriented parametrization of the basic circle $\mathbb{T}_{i,j}$, we obtain from Lemma 6.1 a canonical isomorphism of $C[0, 1]$ -algebras

$$\nu_{i,j}^*(C^*(H_n)) \cong \nu^*(C^*(H_2)) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^{n-2})$$

with

$$\nu : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}; \theta \mapsto e^{2i\pi\theta}.$$

The automorphism $M_{i,j}$ is therefore induced by the action of the positive generator of $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ on $C^*(H_2)$, and it is then straightforward to check:

Proposition 6.3. *For any ordered subset $J = \{i_1 < \dots < i_k\}$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, we set*

$$e_J = e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} \in \Lambda_*(\mathbb{Z}^n),$$

and for $i, j \in J$ such that $i < j$ we denote by $m_{J,i,j}$ the number of places between i and j in J . Then

$$M_{i,j} \cdot e_J = \begin{cases} e_J + (-1)^{m_{J,i,j}} e_J J \setminus \{i, j\}, & \text{if } \{i, j\} \subset J \\ e_J & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

Notice that since $\pi_1\left(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\right)$ is commutative, the matrices $M_{i,j}$ commute with each other!

Corollary 6.4. *The representation*

$$M : \pi_1 \left(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \right) \longrightarrow GL(K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^n))) \cong GL(\Lambda_*(\mathbb{Z}^n))$$

arising from the NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle $C^*(H_n)(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}})$ is injective.

Proof. Let $(n_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ be an element of $\pi_1 \left(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$. Then the action of $(n_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ on $K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^n))$ is given by

$$M((n_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} M_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}}.$$

We have

$$M((n_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}) \cdot e_k \wedge e_l = e_k \wedge e_l + n_{k,l}$$

for any (k, l) with $1 \leq k < l \leq n$. Therefore, $M((n_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n})$ is the identity map if and only if $n_{i,j} = 0$ for every $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. $\square$

Let us now denote for $z \in \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ by $M_{i,j,z}$ the action of the oriented generator of $\pi_1 \left(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ on $K_*(C^*(H_n)_z)$ corresponding to $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and by

$$M_z : \pi_1 \left(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \right) \rightarrow GL(K_*(C^*(H_n)_z))$$

the action of $\pi_1 \left(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \right)$ on $K_*(C^*(H_n)_z)$. Since M_z and M are conjugate, we see that M_z is also injective for any $z \in \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$.

We now describe for a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$, with X a path connected locally compact space, the action

$$M_x^f : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow GL(K_*(C^*(H_n)_{f(x)}))$$

arising for any $x \in X$ from the K -fibration $f^*(C^*(H_n))$. We proceed as we did in the proof of Proposition 5.2. For $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ represented by a continuous map $g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow X$, the loop $f \circ g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ is homotopic to $\left(\nu_{i,j}^{\langle f_{i,j}, \gamma \rangle} \right)_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$, where

$$f(x) = (f_{i,j}(x))_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \in \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$$

for any $x \in X$ (and the parametrisation ν_{ij} of the basic circle $\mathbb{T}_{ij}$ is viewed as a function $\nu_{ij} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$). Thus we obtain

$$(6.1) \quad M_x^f(\gamma) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} M_{i,j,f(x)}^{\langle f_{i,j}, \gamma \rangle}.$$

Since for any continuous functions $h_j : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, $j = 1, 2$ and any $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$, we have

$$\langle h_1 h_2, \gamma \rangle = \langle h_1, \gamma \rangle + \langle h_2, \gamma \rangle,$$

we get

Lemma 6.5. *Let $f_1, f_2 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ be continuous functions such that $f_1(x) = f_2(x) = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ for some fixed base point $x \in X$. Then, for any $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$, we have*

$$M_x^{f_1 \cdot f_2}(\gamma) = M_x^{f_1}(\gamma) \circ M_x^{f_2}(\gamma)$$

in $GL(K_(C(\mathbb{T}^n)))$.*

We close this section by an explicit description of the action of $\pi_1(X)$ in the case $n = 3$ if the fibre at x is $C(\mathbb{T}^3)$:

Lemma 6.6. *Let $A(X)$ be an NCP $\mathbb{T}^3$ -bundle over the path connected space X with classification data $([q : Y \rightarrow X], f)$ such that $f(x) = 1$ for some fixed $x \in X$. Choose $\{1, e_1 \wedge e_2, e_2 \wedge e_3, e_1 \wedge e_3\}$ as a basis for the even part and $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3\}$ as a basis for the odd part of $K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^3)) = \Lambda_*(\mathbb{Z}^3)$. Then the action of $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ on the even and odd parts of $K_*(C(\mathbb{T})) = \Lambda_*(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ is given with respect to those bases by the matrices*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \langle f_{12}, \gamma \rangle & \langle f_{23}, \gamma \rangle & \langle f_{13}, \gamma \rangle \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \langle f_{23}, \gamma \rangle \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\langle f_{13}, \gamma \rangle \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \langle f_{12}, \gamma \rangle \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively.

Proof. This follows from a straightforward computation using Proposition 6.3. $\square$

7. RKK-EQUIVALENCE OF NCP TORUS BUNDLES AND THE K-THEORY BUNDLE

In this section we want to study $\mathcal{R}KK$ -equivalence of NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles $A(X)$ and $B(X)$ in terms of the K-theory bundles $\mathcal{K}_*(A(X))$ and $\mathcal{K}_*(B(X))$. Of course, one cannot expect a complete result because for this one would expect to need a much finer invariant. However, as it turns out below, some partial answers can be given. In particular, we can see from the K-theory bundle whether $A(X)$ is $\mathcal{R}KK$ -equivalent to a commutative principal bundle over X . We start with

Proposition 7.1. *Let X be a path connected locally compact space, and let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ be a continuous map. Then, for all $x \in X$, the action*

$$M_x^f : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow GL(K_*(C^*(H_n)_{f(x)}))$$

arising from the NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle $f^(C^*(H_n))$ is trivial if and only if f is homotopic to a constant map.*

Proof. We denote by $[X, \mathbb{T}]$ the set of homotopy classes of continuous functions from X to $\mathbb{T}$. The pointwise product of functions provides an abelian group structure on $[X, \mathbb{T}]$. If $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a continuous functions, we denote by $[h]$ its homotopy class. Recall that if $[\mathbb{T}]$ is the oriented generator of $H^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, then the map

$$[h] \mapsto h^*([\mathbb{Z}])$$

induces a group isomorphism

$$[X, \mathbb{T}] \cong H^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{Z})$$

(because $\mathbb{T}$ is a $K(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$ -space). If γ is an element of $\pi_1(X)$, then we denote by $[\gamma]$ its class in

$$H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \pi_1(X)/[\pi_1(X), \pi_1(X)].$$

Under these notations, the number $\langle h, \gamma \rangle$ is given by the pairing $\langle [h], [\gamma] \rangle$ between a homology and a cohomology class. If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ is homotopic to the constant $c = f(x)$, then $\langle [f_{i,j}], [\gamma] \rangle = 0$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and thus $M_x^f(\gamma)$ is the identity map on $K_*(C^*(H^n)_{f(x)})$. Conversely, if M_x^f is the trivial morphism, this means that $\langle [f_{i,j}], [\gamma] \rangle = 0$ for any $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ and thus $\langle [f_{i,j}], \omega \rangle = 0$ for any $\omega \in H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Since

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})^*; \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha, \bullet \rangle$$

is an isomorphism, the map $f_{i,j}$ is homotopic to a constant map for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and therefore so is f . $\square$

The following theorem is now a direct consequence of the above proposition together with Remark 4.4 and Lemma 4.7 :

Theorem 7.2. *Let $A(X)$ be an NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles over the path connected space X and let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ be the continuous map associated to $A(X)$ as in Theorem 2.3. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *f is homotopic to a constant map.*
- (ii) *The K -theory bundle $\mathcal{K}_*(A(X))$ is trivial.*
- (iii) *$A(X)$ is $\mathcal{RKK}(X; \cdot, \cdot)$ -equivalent to $C_0(Y)$ for a (commutative) principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle $q : Y \rightarrow X$.*

In what follows next, we want to study whether the above result can be extended in order to compare two NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles $A(X)$ and $B(X)$ up to twisting by suitable commutative $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles. Recall from Section 2 that if $A(X)$ is a NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle and if $\Psi \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, then $A_\Psi(X)$ denotes the NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle which we obtain from $A(X)$ by composing the $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action with Ψ^{-1} . Since the underlying C^* -algebra bundle is not changed at all, and since our study of $\mathcal{RKK}$ -equivalence is **after** forgetting the $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action, we see that $A(X)$ and $A_\Psi(X)$ are $\mathcal{RKK}$ -equivalent since they are equal after forgetting the action.

In terms of the classification of NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles of Section 2, we can therefore deduce from Theorem 2.10 that the bundles corresponding to the pairs

$$([q : Y \rightarrow X], f) \quad \text{and} \quad ([q_\Psi : Y_\Psi \rightarrow X], \Lambda_2 \Psi \circ f)$$

are always $\mathcal{RKK}$ -equivalent (in fact they are $C_0(X)$ -Morita equivalent). More precisely, the representatives

$$Y * (f^* C^*(H_n))(X) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_\Psi * ((\Lambda_2 \Psi \circ f)^*(C^*(H_n)))(X)$$

are isomorphic C^* -algebra bundles over X . We notice the following fact

Lemma 7.3. *The morphism $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow GL_n(\Lambda_2(\mathbb{Z}^n)); \Psi \mapsto \Lambda_2 \Psi$ is surjective for $n = 2$ and has range $SL(\Lambda_2(\mathbb{Z}^3))$ for $n = 3$.*

Proof. For $n = 2$, we have an isomorphism $\Lambda_2(\mathbb{Z}^2) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}; x \wedge y \mapsto \det(x, y)$ and under this identification $\Lambda_2 \Psi$ is $\det \Psi$.

For $n = 3$ we have an isomorphism $\Lambda_2(\mathbb{Z}^3) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{Z}^3)^*$; $x \wedge y \mapsto [z \mapsto \det(x, y, z)]$ and under this identification $\Lambda_2\Psi$ is $\det \Psi \cdot {}^t\Psi^{-1}$, thus $\Psi \mapsto \Lambda_2\Psi$ has range $SL(\Lambda_2(\mathbb{Z}^3))$. $\square$

On the other hand, we know that $\mathcal{RKK}$ -equivalence between $A(X)$ and $B(X)$ induces an isomorphism of the K-theory bundles $\mathcal{K}_*(A(X)) \cong \mathcal{K}_*(B(X))$, which then implies in particular that the actions of $\pi_1(X)$ on the fibres $K_*(A_x)$ and $K_*(B_x)$ are conjugated. If we can arrange things in a way that $A_x = B_x = C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ for some fixed $x \in X$, this conjugation is given by some matrix $T \in GL(\Lambda_n(\mathbb{Z}))$. Recall from Proposition 5.2 that in case $n = 2$ and $f(x) = 1 \in \mathbb{T}$ the action of $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ on $K_0(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$ is given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\langle f, \gamma \rangle \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. In case $n = 3$ we get a similar description for the action on $K_0(C(\mathbb{T}^3))$ by matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & {}^tv \\ 0 & I_3 \end{pmatrix}$, if we choose a basis of $K_0(C(\mathbb{T}^3))$ as in Lemma 6.6. Thus the following lemma gives a description of the possible conjugation matrices in these cases:

Lemma 7.4. *Let v and w be vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and let T be a matrix of $GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that*

$$(7.1) \quad T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & {}^tv \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & {}^tw \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix} \cdot T.$$

Then there is a matrix X of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, depending only on T such that $v = X \cdot w$.

Proof. If $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & {}^tx \\ y & Y \end{pmatrix}$ with a in $\mathbb{Z}$, x and y in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and Y in $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, then equation (7.1) leads to the equalities

$$(7.2) \quad y \cdot {}^tv = 0$$

$$(7.3) \quad a {}^tv = {}^tw \cdot Y.$$

From equation (7.2) we get that either y or v vanishes. If $y = 0$, then T is triangular and $a \det Y = \pm 1$. In particular the matrix Y is invertible, $a = \pm 1$ and from equation (7.3) we get that $v = a {}^tYw$, so we can take $X = a {}^tY$. If $v = 0$, then since T is invertible, $w = 0$ and thus we can choose $X = I_n$. $\square$

Theorem 7.5. *Let X be a locally compact path connected space and let $A(X)$ and $B(X)$ be NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles over X . Let $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ be the continuous maps associated to $A(X)$ and $B(X)$, respectively, via the classification of NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles of Theorem 2.3 and consider the following statements:*

- (i) *There exist principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles Y and Z over X such that $Y * A(X)$ and $Z * B(X)$ are $\mathcal{RKK}$ -equivalent.*
- (ii) *The group bundles $\mathcal{K}_*(A)$ and $\mathcal{K}_*(B)$ are isomorphic as $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded group bundles.*
- (iii) *f is homotopic to $A \circ g$ for some $A \in GL(\Lambda_2(\mathbb{Z}^n))$.*

Then, if $n = 2$, all these statements are equivalent. If $n = 3$, then (i) $\implies$ (ii) $\implies$ (iii), and (iii) $\implies$ (i) if $A \in SL(\Lambda_2(\mathbb{Z}^3))$. If $n > 3$, then (i) $\implies$ (ii) and (iii) $\implies$ (i) if $A = \Lambda_2\Psi$ for some $\Psi \in GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 7.6. In case $n = 3$ the obstruction for proving (iii) $\implies$ (ii) is given by the problem, whether the pullback $f^*C^*(H_3)$ is $\mathcal{RKK}$ -equivalent to $C^*(H_3)$ as C^* -algebra bundle over $\mathbb{T}^3$, where $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^3$ is the map $f(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3)$. We believe that this is true, but we are not able to prove it. In dimensions $n > 3$ the situation is much more mysterious.

Proof. Since the assertions (i), (ii) and (iii) are left invariant under passing from $A(X)$ to $Y * A(X)$ (and similarly for $B(X)$), which is clear for (i) and (iii) and follows from Lemma 4.7 for (ii), we may as well assume that $A(X) = f^*(C^*(H_n))$ and $B(X) = g^*(C^*(H_n))$. Then (i) implies (ii) is a consequence of Remark 4.4.

Let us now assume that condition (ii) is satisfied. Let us fix some $x \in X$. Since homotopies of NCP torus bundles provide $\mathcal{R}KK$ -equivalences by Corollary 3.3, and thus isomorphisms of the associated K -theory group bundles by Remark 4.4, we can replace f and g by homotopic functions. Moreover, $\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ being a connected group, we can in fact assume that $f(x) = g(x) = 1$. Since the group bundles $\mathcal{K}_*(f^*C^*(H_2))$ and $\mathcal{K}_*(g^*C^*(H_2))$ are isomorphic, the corresponding actions of $\pi_1(X)$ on $K_0(C(\mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}})) \cong \Lambda_{ev}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ are conjugate by a matrix $T \in GL(\Lambda_{ev}(\mathbb{Z}^n))$ (note that the action of $\pi_1(X)$ on $K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^n)) \cong \Lambda_*(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ preserves the grading). If $n = 2$, it follows then from Proposition 5.2 that for any γ in $\pi_1(X)$, we have

$$T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \langle f, \gamma \rangle \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \langle g, \gamma \rangle \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot T,$$

which implies by Lemma 7.4 that $\langle f, \gamma \rangle = \epsilon \langle g, \gamma \rangle$ for every γ in $\pi_1(X)$, with $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Since $\langle f \cdot g^{-\epsilon}, \gamma \rangle = \langle f, \gamma \rangle - \epsilon \langle g, \gamma \rangle$, this implies arguing as in the proof of Proposition 7.1 that f and g^ϵ are homotopic and hence (iii).

In case $n = 3$ we can argue similarly: using Lemma 6.6 together with Lemma 7.4, we see, as in the case $n = 2$, that (ii) implies the existence of some $A \in GL(\mathbb{Z}^3) (= GL(\Lambda_2(\mathbb{Z}^3)))$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle g_1, \gamma \rangle \\ \langle g_2, \gamma \rangle \\ \langle g_3, \gamma \rangle \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \langle f_1, \gamma \rangle \\ \langle f_2, \gamma \rangle \\ \langle f_3, \gamma \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle (A \circ f)_1, \gamma \rangle \\ \langle (A \circ f)_2, \gamma \rangle \\ \langle (A \circ f)_3, \gamma \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, combining Lemma 6.5 with the proof of Proposition 7.1 this implies that g is homotopic to $A \circ f$ for some A in $GL(\Lambda_2(\mathbb{Z}^3))$.

Let us finally assume (iii). As mentioned before we may assume that $A(X) = f^*(C^*(H_n))$ and $B(X) = g^*(C^*(H_n))$. If $g = A \circ f$ with $A = \Lambda_2 \Psi$ for some $\Psi \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, then it follows from Theorem 2.10 that $g^*(C^*(H_n)) = (\Lambda_2 \Psi \circ f)^*(C^*(H_n))$ is isomorphic to $f^*(C^*(H_n))$ as a C^* -algebra bundles over X . In particular, it follows that $f^*(C^*(H_n))$ is $\mathcal{R}KK$ -equivalent to $g^*(C^*(H_n))$. In case $n = 2$, the range of $\Psi \mapsto \Lambda_2 \Psi$ is all of $GL(\Lambda_2(\mathbb{Z}^2)) = GL_1(\mathbb{Z})$. In case $n = 3$, the range is $SL(\Lambda_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ (see Lemma 7.3). This finishes the proof. $\square$

8. APPLICATIONS TO T -DUALITY WITH H-FLUX

In this section we want to discuss an application of our results to the study of T -duals with H-flux, as studied by Mathai and Rosenberg in [23, 24]. We shall restrict ourselves to the mathematical point of view and refer to the above cited papers (and the references given there) for physical interpretations of the theory.

Following the approach of [23, 24] we start with a fixed principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle $q : Y \rightarrow X$ together with a Dixmier-Douady class $\delta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ (which is called an *H-flux* in [23]). It is then shown in [24] that a (commutative or non-commutative) T -dual only exists if the restriction of δ to the fibres $Y_x \cong \mathbb{T}^n$ are trivial. In that case the corresponding stable continuous trace algebra $CT(Y, \delta)$ carries a canonical structure as a C^* -algebra bundle over X with fibres $C(Y_x, \mathcal{K}) \cong C(\mathbb{T}^n, \mathcal{K})$ for all $x \in X$, and there exists at least one action

$\beta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(CT(Y, \delta))$ which covers the given action on the base Y . A T-dual in the sense of Mathai-Rosenberg is then given by the crossed product $CT(Y, \delta) \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{R}^n$.

If the action β can be chosen in such a way that the crossed product $CT(Y, \delta) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n$ is again a continuous-trace algebra, then it is shown in [23, 24], using much earlier results from [27], that it is isomorphic to an algebra of the form $CT(\hat{Y}, \hat{\delta})$ for a $\mathbb{T}^n$ -principal bundle $\hat{q} : \hat{Y} \rightarrow X$ and a suitable Dixmier-Douady class $\hat{\delta} \in H^3(\hat{Y}, \mathbb{Z})$, such that the dual action of $\mathbb{R}^n$ on $CT(Y, \delta) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n \cong CT(\hat{Y}, \hat{\delta})$ covers the action on the base as explained before. In this case the bundle $CT(\hat{Y}, \hat{\delta})$ is called a *classical T-dual* of $CT(Y, \delta)$. It is shown in [24, Proposition 3.3] that classical duals, if they exist, are essentially unique.

The main result of [24] is then a characterization of those bundles $CT(Y, \delta)$ which do have a classical dual in the above sense (see [24, Theorem 3.1]). As an application of our results we shall give a purely K -theoretical characterization of existence of classical duals. Note first that all T-duals are at least locally isomorphic to NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle in our sense. We start with

Lemma 8.1. *Suppose that $Y = X \times \mathbb{T}^n$ and $\delta|_{X \times \{1\}}$ is trivial. Suppose further that $\beta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(CT(X \times \mathbb{T}^n, \delta))$ is an action which covers the obvious action of $\mathbb{R}^n$ on the base $X \times \mathbb{T}^n$. Then there exists an action $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_0(X, \mathcal{K}))$ such that*

$$CT(X \times \mathbb{T}^n, \delta) \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{R}^n \sim_M C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^n$$

where here $\sim_M$ denotes Morita equivalence of C^* -algebra bundles over X . In particular, the T-dual $CT(X \times \mathbb{T}^n, \delta) \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{R}^n$ is Morita equivalent to an NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle over X .

Proof. If we restrict β to $\mathbb{Z}^n$, we obtain a fibre-wise action of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ on $CT(X \times \mathbb{T}^n, \delta)$, which then restricts to a fibre-wise action α of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ on $C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) = CT(X \times \{1\}, \delta|_{X \times \{1\}})$. It follows then from [6, Theorem] that the systems

$$(CT(X \times \mathbb{T}^n, \delta), \mathbb{R}^n, \beta) \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n} C_0(X, \mathcal{K}), \mathbb{R}^n, \text{Ind } \alpha)$$

are isomorphic, and then Green's imprimitivity theorem gives the desired Morita equivalence, which is easily checked to be compatible with the bundle structures over X . $\square$

As an easy consequence we get:

Proposition 8.2. *Assume that $q : Y \rightarrow X$ is a principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle with H-flux $\delta \in H^3(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ and let $\beta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(CT(Y, \delta))$ be an action which covers the given action on Y . Then for any $y \in Y$ there exists an $\mathbb{R}^n$ -invariant neighbourhood V of y such that $CT(V, \delta|_V) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n$ is $C_0(U)$ -Morita equivalent to some NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle over U .*

Proof. We first choose a trivializing $\mathbb{R}^n$ -invariant neighbourhood V of y such that $V \cong U \times \mathbb{T}^n$ as $\mathbb{R}^n$ -space. By passing to a smaller neighbourhood of $x = q(y) \in U$ if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that $\delta|_U$ is trivial. Hence the result follows from Lemma 8.1 above. $\square$

Corollary 8.3. *Let $q : Y \rightarrow X$ be a principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle with H-flux $\delta \in H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$ such that there exists an action β of $\mathbb{R}^n$ on $CT(Y, \delta)$ which covers the given action on Y . Consider $CT(Y, \delta)$ and the T-dual $CT(Y, \delta) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n$ as C^* -algebra bundles over X . Then both bundles are locally RKK-trivial and $\text{RKK}(X; \cdot, \cdot)$ -equivalent to each other (up to a dimension shift of order n).*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.5, Proposition 8.2 and Corollary 3.4. $\square$

Lemma 8.4. *Let $q : Y \rightarrow X$ be a principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle over X and let $\delta \in H^3(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ such that δ vanishes on the fibres $Y_x \cong \mathbb{T}^n$. Assume further that Δ is a compact contractible space and that $F : \Delta \rightarrow X$ is continuous map. Then*

$$F^*CT(Y, \delta) \cong C(\Delta \times \mathbb{T}^n, \mathcal{K})$$

as C^ -algebra bundles over X , such that the corresponding homeomorphism of the base $F^*Y \cong \Delta \times \mathbb{T}^n$ is $\mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariant. In particular, $CT(Y, \delta)$ is a K -fibration in the sense of Definition 4.1.*

Proof. The result follows easily from the fact that $F^*(CT(Y, \delta))$ is a continuous trace algebra over $F^*Y = \Delta \times \mathbb{T}^n$ (since Δ is contractible) such that the Dixmier-Douady class vanishes on the fibre $\mathbb{T}^n$. Then a classical result on fibre bundles (e.g. see [16]) implies that the underlying bundle of compact operators over $\Delta \times \mathbb{T}^n$ corresponding to $F^*(CT(Y, \delta))$ is trivial, and hence $F^*(CT(Y, \delta)) \cong C(\Delta \times \mathbb{T}^n, \mathcal{K})$. $\square$

It follows from this lemma that whenever $q : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle with H-flux δ such that the restriction of δ to the fibres is trivial, we can consider the K -theory group bundle $\mathcal{K}_*(CT(Y, \delta))$ over X as introduced in Definition 4.3.

If $\beta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(CT(Y, \delta))$ is an action which covers the given $\mathbb{R}^n$ -action on Y , then the restriction of β to $\mathbb{Z}^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ acts trivially on Y , and therefore is a fibre-wise action on $CT(Y, \delta)$. Since the fibres are isomorphic to the compact operators on separable Hilbert space, we get, as explained in §2, a Mackey-obstruction $[\omega_y] \in H^2(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{T})$ which classifies the action on the fibre $\mathcal{K}_y$ of $CT(Y, \delta)$. The resulting map

$$\tilde{f} : Y \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$$

is constant on $\mathbb{R}^n$ -orbits (see [13, Theorem 2.3]), and hence on the fibres $Y_x = q^{-1}(x)$ of the principal bundle $q : Y \rightarrow X$. Thus $\tilde{f}$ factors through a well defined map

$$f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$$

which we call the Mackey-obstruction map for the T-dual $CT(Y, \delta) \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{R}^n$. Of course, if we locally realize the T-dual as an NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle as in Proposition 8.2, then f restricts to the Mackey-obstruction map of that NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle as it shows up in the classification data of those bundles (see §2). We are now ready to formulate the main result of this section, which builds on the work of Mathai and Rosenberg in [24]:

Theorem 8.5. *Suppose that $q : Y \rightarrow X$ is a principal $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundle with H-flux $\delta \in H^3(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ such that δ vanishes on the fibres $Y_x \cong \mathbb{T}^n$. Assume that X is path connected. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a classical T-dual for $CT(Y, \delta)$.*
- (ii) *The action of $\pi_1(X)$ on the fibres $K_*(C_0(Y_x, \mathcal{K})) \cong K^*(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is trivial.*
- (iii) *The K -theory group bundle $\mathcal{K}_*(CT(Y, \delta))$ over X with fibres $K_*(C_0(Y_x, \mathcal{K})) \cong K^*(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is trivial.*
- (iv) *For any action $\beta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(CY(Y, \delta))$ which covers the given action on Y , the corresponding Mackey-obstruction map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ is homotopic to a constant.*

Proof. The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is obvious and the equivalence of (i) and (iv) has been shown by Mathai and Rosenberg in [24, Theorems 2.3 and 3.1]. It thus remains to show the equivalence of (ii) and (iv). If β is an action as in (iv) we have seen in Corollary 8.3 that $CT(Y, \delta)$ is $\mathcal{R}KK$ -equivalent to the T-dual $CT(Y, \delta) \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{R}^n$, and hence they have isomorphic K -theory bundles and conjugate actions of $\pi_1(X)$ on the fibres.

Choose a fixed base-point x and let $\nu : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map with $\nu(1) = x$ representing a given class $\gamma \in \pi_1(X, x)$. Then ν^*Y is isomorphic to the trivial bundle $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}^n$, since $H^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$. It follows that $\nu^*CT(Y, \delta)$ is isomorphic to $CT(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}^n, \nu^*\delta)$ as a C^* -algebra bundle over $\mathbb{T}$. Since $H^3(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$, it is clear that $\nu^*\delta|_{\mathbb{T}}$ vanishes, and thus it follows from Lemma 8.1 that

$$\nu^*(CT(Y, \delta) \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{R}^n) = CT(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}^n, \nu^*\delta) \rtimes_{\nu^*\beta} \mathbb{R}^n \sim_M C(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^n$$

where α is given by the restriction of $\nu^*\beta$ to $\mathbb{Z}^n$ evaluated at $\mathbb{T}$. In particular, the Mackey-obstruction map $f_{\nu} = f \circ \nu$ of $\nu^*\beta$ coincides with the Mackey-obstruction map for α . We then get

$$\nu^*(CT(Y, \delta) \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{R}^n) \sim_M C(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{K}) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^n \sim_M f_{\nu}^*C^*(H_n),$$

where the last Morita equivalence follows from our classification of NCP torus bundles together with the fact that all commutative torus bundles over $\mathbb{T}$ are trivial. We may then conclude as in §6 that the map $M : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow GL(K_*(C(Y_x, \mathcal{K}))) \cong GL(K_*(C^*(H_n)_{f(x)}))$ is given on γ precisely by the formula given in equation (6.1) (notice that the identification $GL(K_*(C(Y_x, \mathcal{K}))) \cong GL(K_*(C^*(H_n)_{f(x)}))$ can be done independently from the given choice of γ). In particular, it follows then from Proposition 7.1 that this action is trivial if and only if f is homotopic to the identity, which now finishes the proof. $\square$

9. SOME FINAL COMMENTS

As mentioned in the introduction, the main object of this paper is to present an interesting class of examples of C^* -algebra bundles which are K - or KK -fibrations in the sense of Definition 4.1. The structure of being such fibration provides a new topological invariant, namely the K -theory group bundle of the fibration, and the results of this paper show that this invariant can be quite useful for the study of the structure of such non-commutative fibrations. In particular, the K -theory group bundle is an obstruction for $\mathcal{R}KK$ -triviality.

In the forthcoming paper [10], we shall show that there are many more interesting examples of K - or KK -fibrations, and we shall prove a complete version of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence for all such fibrations, which will be a much stronger obstruction for $\mathcal{R}KK$ -equivalence. In fact, we shall see in that paper that in case of NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles the spectral sequence combines with the results of this paper give a complete description which NCP $\mathbb{T}^n$ -bundles are $\mathcal{R}KK$ -trivial (i.e., $\mathcal{R}KK$ -equivalent to $C_0(X \times \mathbb{T}^n)$).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Anderson, W. Paschke. *The rotation algebra*. Houston J. Math. 15 (1989), no. 1, 1–26.
- [2] B. Blackadar. *K-theory for operator algebras*. Second edition. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, 5. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. xx+300 pp.
- [3] A. Connes. *Noncommutative geometry*. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994. xiv+661 pp.

- [4] D. Crocker, A. Kumjian, I. Raeburn, D.P. Williams. *An equivariant Brauer group and actions of groups on C^* -algebras*. J. Funct. Anal. 146 (1997), no. 1, 151–184.
- [5] J. Dixmier. *C^* -Algebras*. North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 15. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977. xiii+492 pp.
- [6] S. Echterhoff. *On induced covariant systems*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 108 (1990), no. 3, 703–706.
- [7] S. Echterhoff. *Crossed products with continuous trace*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1996), no. 586.
- [8] S. Echterhoff, S. Kaliszewski, J. Quigg, I. Raeburn. *A categorical approach to imprimitivity theorems for C^* -dynamical systems*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 180 (2006), no. 850.
- [9] S. Echterhoff, R. Nest. *The structure of the Brauer group and crossed products of $C_0(X)$ -linear group actions on $C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$* . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001), no. 9, 3685–3712.
- [10] S. Echterhoff, R. Nest and H. Oyono-Oyono. *Fibrations with noncommutative fibers*. In preparation.
- [11] S. Echterhoff and D.P. Williams. *Locally inner actions on $C_0(X)$ -algebras*. J. Operator Theory 45 (2001), no. 1, 131–160.
- [12] S. Echterhoff and D.P. Williams. *Crossed products by $C_0(X)$ -actions*. J. Funct. Anal. **158** (1998), no. 1, 113–151.
- [13] E.C. Gootman. *Abelian group actions on type I C^* -algebras*. Operator algebras and their connections with topology and ergodic theory (Buzsteni, 1983), 152–169, Lecture Notes in Math., 1132, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [14] P. Green. *C^* -algebras of transformation groups with smooth orbit space*. Pacific J. Math. 72 (1977), no. 1, 71–97.
- [15] N. Higson and G. Kasparov. *E -theory and KK-theory for groups which act properly and isometrically on Hilbert space*, Invent. Math. **144**, 23–74, (2001).
- [16] D. Husemoller. *Fibre bundles*. Third edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 20. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [17] G. Kasparov. *K -theory, group C^* -algebras, and higher signatures (conspectus)*. Novikov conjectures, index theorems and rigidity, Vol. 1 (Oberwolfach, 1993), 101–146, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 226, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [18] G. Kasparov. *Equivariant KK-theory and the Novikov conjecture*, Invent. Math. **91**, 147–201 (1988).
- [19] C.C. Moore. *Extensions and low dimensional cohomology theory of locally compact groups. I, II*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 113 1964 40–63.
- [20] C.C. Moore. *Group extensions and cohomology for locally compact groups. III*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 221 (1976), no. 1, 1–33.
- [21] G. K. Pedersen. *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*. London Mathematical Society Monographs, 14. Academic Press, Inc., London-New York, 1979.
- [22] E. Kirchberg, S. Wassermann. *Exact groups and continuous bundles of C^* -algebras*. Math. Ann. 315 (1999), no. 2, 169–203.
- [23] V. Mathai, J. Rosenberg. *T -duality for torus bundles with H -fluxes via noncommutative topology*. Comm. Math. Phys. 253 (2005), no. 3, 705–721.
- [24] V. Mathai, J. Rosenberg. *T -duality for torus bundles with H -fluxes via noncommutative topology. II. The high-dimensional case and the T -duality group*. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 10 (2006), no. 1, 123–158.
- [25] D. Olesen, I. Raeburn. *Pointwise unitary automorphism groups*. J. Funct. Anal. 93 (1990), no. 2, 278–309.
- [26] I. Raeburn, *Induced C^* -algebras and a symmetric imprimitivity theorem*, Math. Ann. **280**, 369–387 (1988).
- [27] I. Raeburn, J. Rosenberg. *Crossed products of continuous-trace C^* -algebras by smooth actions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 305 (1988), no. 1, 1–45.
- [28] I. Raeburn, D.P. Williams. *Pull-backs of C^* -algebras and crossed products by certain diagonal actions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 287 (1985), no. 2, 755–777.
- [29] M. A. Rieffel, *The cancellation theorem for projective module over irrational rotation C^* -algebras*, Proc. London Math Soc. (3), **47**, 285–302 (1983).
- [30] N. Steenrod, *The topology of fibre bundles*, Princetown University Press, (1951).
- [31] D. P. Williams. *Crossed products of C^* -algebras*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 134. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

WESTFÄLISCHE WILHELMS-UNIVERSITÄT MÜNSTER, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, EINSTEINSTR. 62 D-48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY

E-mail address: `echters@math.uni-muenster.de`

SNF CENTER IN NON-COMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY UNIVERSITETSPARKEN 5 DK-2100 KBH. Ø, DENMARK

E-mail address: `rnest@math.ku.dk`

UNIVERSITÉ BLAISE PASCAL DE CLERMONT-FERRAND, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES, PLATEAU DES CÉZEAUX, 63177 AUBIÈRE CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: `oyono@math.cnrs.fr`